

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_79229
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiac hydatid cyst in the right ventricle: uncommon localization of atypical chest pain
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This case report is poorly written, echocardiographic imaging very simple, without specifying the hemodynamic impact of this cyst (Doppler evaluation, quantification of biventricular systolic-diastolic function). In the discussions, more reference to the data from the literature regarding the pathophysiological implications, the evolution in terms of localization and the hemodynamic impact and the arguments that bring this new report.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fagarasan Amalia
Department, University & Country	George Emil Palade University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Science and Technology of Targu Mures, Romania