

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_77444
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes, Investigations and Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndrome
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In introduction line 3: Angina should be replaced by unstable angina. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction, line 7: No full stop before enhances. Page 2, line 2 and 3 – oxygenation requirement should be change to oxygen requirement. Signs and symptoms line 2, check spelling of precordium. Conduction defects, line 9: Do not reach the not ventricle, Omit this not. Ventricular tachycardia , line 6 : ventricular tachycardia without hemodynamic IV instability lidocaine may be treated. , procainamide, or amiodarone. Revise this line. Heart failure, line 5: breathlessness at the base of the lungs, not appropriate term. Use breathlessness and creps at the base separately. Right ventricular ischemia or infarction: In place of infarction, infection has been used that needs to be changed. Dobotamine or malaria- I think Milrinone. 	
Optional/General comments	Really well written and covered almost every aspect. Prevention strategy could be added for absolute completeness. Very good use of language and facts, was soothing and easily understandable.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankan Kumar Paul
Department, University & Country	UK