

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_89308
Title of the Manuscript:	Myxoid degenerated giant rectal schwannoma masquerading as tailgut cyst: A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors have written a manuscript titled "Myxoid degenerated giant rectal schwannoma masquerading as tailgut cyst: A rare case report". No doubt, the topic is interesting still I feel incorporation of few comments will further improve its quality. <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please avoid et al, in references use full name of all authors instead. Also, please provide all information i.e. year, vol no, issue no, page no and doi in references. Its missing in few references.</li><li>2. One line stating the significance of the work can be added in abstract.</li><li>3. Introduction can be elaborated mentioning the need of the work done.</li><li>4. Significance, major contribution of the proposed work can also be provided in results and discussion.</li><li>5. Conclusion can be rewritten focusing on work done, results obtained and significance of the work.</li><li>6. Please avoid grammatical errors.</li><li>7. Figures can be discussed in details in text for better understanding.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please incorporate the suggested comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Dabass
Department, University & Country	India