

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_89265
Title of the Manuscript:	Inguinal Hernia: Diagnostic Trap
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript has some grammar and punctuation mistakes. Example: " bilious (choleperitoneum) effusions.[1] [2]", should be "bilious (choleperitoneum) effusions [1,2]; " a correct ionogram , Faced with the painful"- " a correct ionogram. Faced with a painful	
Optional/General comments	The authors present a case of inguinal hernia in a cirrhosis patient but fails to show the laboratory tests to certify the decompensated cirrhosis. Also, it would be good to point the hernia repair procedure used.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Molnar Călin
Department, University & Country	G.E. Palade University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Science and Technology of Târgu Mureș, Romania