

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_89265
Title of the Manuscript:	Inguinal Hernia: Diagnostic Trap
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Introduction: Cystic ascites is fluid effusion ?? perhaps only ascites or cirrhotic ascites.	
Minor REVISION comments	In Abstract: <i>due to serious complications that could occur, including rupture or strangulation</i> , instead of <i>due to serious complications that could occur (rupture or strangulation) including</i> No comma before any sentence throughout the article.	
Optional/General comments	An interesting topic, hernia is a complication with surgical treatment that should be considered in cirrhosis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andrei Zbucnea
Department, University & Country	District Emergency Hospital of Ploiesti, Romania