

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_89264
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Appendicitis due to Enterobius vermicularis : three case reports and literature review
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper presents interesting information regarding the parasitic infection as a source of acute appendicitis.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. However, the name doesn't reflect the proper content of the paper. There is almost NO literature review in the paper. Either the name may be changed or some sort of literature review of at least one full page must be added 2. Descriptions of clinical cases are too short and provides insufficient information about the cases. 3. Results and discussion section is strange as it is in fact a sort of literature review not directly related to clinical cases described. Comparing with other clinical cases from literature is important. 4. It is highly recommended to express the novelty & practical significance of the paper and peculiarities of treatment and diagnosis. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript's structure and formatting require changes in accordance to Journal's demands More illustrations will significantly improve the quality of the paper No bioethics approval is mentioned in the paper Location of the study and patient's socio-economic status are recommended to better understanding the aetiology and background</p>	
Optional/General comments	Highly recommend to consult Editor's office for assistance to prepare the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruslan Sydorчук
Department, University & Country	Bukovinian State Medical University, Ukraine