

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_88443
Title of the Manuscript:	TORSION OF PARAOVARIAN CYST IN 11 YEAR OLD GIRL: CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a very interesting case report. However, it lacks some information and would benefit from division into sections.</p> <p>The patient's presentation and initial investigation is missing information about urine dip and any other bloods derangements apart from TLC. There is also no timing of surgery.</p> <p>It would be nice to have separate surgical part including recovery (and why patient stayed in for 3 days after the operation) followed by separate histology part. I don't think it is necessary mentioning that you have done informed consent and discussed all risks. Same mention would go for general anaesthetics.</p> <p>What would is not mentioned is whether patient has laparoscopy and the other organs have been reviewed prior to decision about port placement.</p> <p>With the histology specimen, it would benefit from pointer/arrow to draw the readers to the area of interest.</p> <p>The discussion summarizes it nicely.</p> <p>I think this would make a nice case report once the revision is done.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Rezacova
Department, University & Country	Queen Alexandra Hospital, UK