

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_86755
Title of the Manuscript:	Cervicothoracic Lymphangioma in an Adult: A Rare Entity
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Spelling of Lymphangioma to be corrected in Keywords section.2. References in the Introduction are starting from 7,8 instead of 1,2.3. Case presentation section 2nd line 'since' to be replaced by 'for'.4. It is usually difficult to measure the dimensions of a swelling in three dimension on Inspection. A two-dimension description would be better.5. The author can review the literature on other modalities of treatment apart from surgery and add it in the discussion.6. The surgical approach to such cervicothoracic lymphangiomas to be added in the discussion section.7. The fonts used in the Reference section are different.8. References to be made as per the instruction of the Journal.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Conclusion can be specific to cervicothoracic lymphangiomas instead of generalising to all lymphangiomas.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Slides of histopathology can be added to the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debasis Naik
Department, University & Country	JIPMER, India