

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83701
Title of the Manuscript:	SPOTANEOUS RUPTURE OF URINARY BLADDER: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the discussion, no comment has been made on the possible cause for the spontaneous rupture of this patient's bladder.</p> <p>Any evidence to show that extra-peritoneal spontaneous bladder rupture is also possible to occur?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	It will be useful if the oblique views of the cystogram images are included, which would also remind readers the need to look at these views routinely to rule out any posterior wall bladder perforation	
Optional/General comments	Well written interesting case-report	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shiv Kumar Pandian
Department, University & Country	North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust, United Kingdom