

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_82520
Title of the Manuscript:	Chylous ascites in small bowel obstruction
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a well written case report with a significant conclusion. There is a significant point that has to be elucidated: you discussed that chylous ascites may imitate pus. Please provide all evidence that excluded the diagnosis of intra-abdominal pus in your case: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Total cell count, granulocytes differentiation in ascites2. Culture of the abdominal fluid, proving that it was sterile	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some minor language corrections, which have been marked with yellow in the text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Paparoupa
Department, University & Country	University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf , Germany