

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_77663
Title of the Manuscript:	Pitfalls and Pearls Obtained from a Case of Strangulated Spigelian Hernia Repair
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Grammatical and spelling corrections as mentioned A line about the symptoms shown by the patient Description of pictures to be clearly visible Format and styling of the text to be made uniform throughout the manuscript according to the instructions to the authors	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This article describes about a case report on Spigelian hernia and its repair in a 52 years old male patient. This paper may be accepted with corrections as mentioned in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dayamon D. Mathew
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, India