

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_77416
Title of the Manuscript:	Paediatric Primary Peritonitis: A rare entity among immunocompetent individuals rearing its head again during this COVID-19 pandemic era.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Your manuscript entitled Pediatric Primary Peritonitis: A rare entity among immunocompetent individuals rearing its head again during this COVID-19 pandemic era, which presents the case of a six-year-old girl, who presented for the symptoms of primary peritonitis, is very eloquent from two points of view.</p> <p>1. The presence of this entity without an organic cause in children</p> <p>2. The need for early evaluation of patients with symptoms.</p> <p>Certainly, under normal conditions, without this pandemic that delays the early diagnosis of diseases, patients with various morbidities could benefit from diagnosis and appropriate treatment as early as possible in order not to aggravate the disease. Recovery from a late diagnosis is much more difficult and delayed, but so are the costs.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	I have no comments to make on this manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript sections are correctly presented.</p> <p>The Introduction section briefly presents the background of primary peritonitis, especially in children.</p> <p>The presentation of the case is very good. Clinical and paraclinical examinations are presented in detail, as is the laparoscopic intervention. Antibiotic treatment and hydroelectrolytic rebalancing after laparoscopy are mentioned.</p> <p>I am pleased with the Discussion section, in which this case was compared with other reports in the literature.</p> <p>At the same time, the fact that the diagnosis and treatment of this case was delayed due to the patients' reluctance to go to the doctor in the current pandemic conditions, strengthens the conviction that the mentality must be changed. There are so many other diseases besides SARS Cov-2 infection that require early attention and treatment.</p> <p>That is why your manuscript raises an alarm about the danger of losing some patients in case of a late diagnosis.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stan Florin Gheorghe
Department, University & Country	University Of Agricultural Sciences And Veterinary Medicine Of Cluj-Napoca, Romania