

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_75394
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSERVATIVE MANAGEMENT OF HOLLOW VISCUS PERFORATION IN A COVID PATIENT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Case report says at one point as SpO2 of 97% at presentation and again below it is mentioned as 88% at presentation – please clarify 2. Would like to know why the patient was not taken up for surgery when the x-ray was s/o perforation of hollow viscus and patient had a rigid and distended abdomen with stable vitals at presentation? 3. Whether steroids and anti-coagulants were used for management of covid? If yes, when were they started and for how long? 4. What happened about the moderate fluid collection – whether it had resolved on its own or had to be drained? 5. Duration of antibiotic usage could be mentioned 6. References have to be marked appropriately in the discussion. 7. Discussion should focus more on conservative management approaches and available options of treatment. Also when can a patient be considered for conservative approach and when not. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would be preferable to attach an x-ray or CT scan image of the patient. 2. Any history of causative/ leading factors for hollow viscus perforation can be mentioned in case report. 3. Instead of Tables in case report – simple sentences will be self-explanatory about the progress of the patient's condition. 4. Please explain the role of COVID 19 positive status of the patient with regards to the management of this patient. 5. Numerous spelling and grammatical errors which needs to be addressed 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vipul Nandu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>India</i>