

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_89725
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARING THE THERAPEUTIC EFFICACY OF TRANSDERMAL PATCHES OF KETOPROFEN WITH THAT OF FENTANYL IN PAIN CONTROL IN POST-OPERATIVE PATIENTS OTHER THAN SPINE SURGERY: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript is well written Manuscript need some English revision	
Minor REVISION comments	Dear all, Honestly, I have reviewed the manuscript and I have noticed little notes make its color to red and question mark if the authors want to manage it, some abbreviation not previously explained and number of references not fully correct write like year of publication, chapter, volume, number of editions...etc., even though most references are not Updated. The approval for the study was obtained from IEC on 18- Dec- 2017 and carried out after six months (why not published until now?)	
Optional/General comments	The study was done with WOMAC scale to measure the intensity of the pain, however patient response for the WOMAC scale is not encouraged as they were not able to differentiate the questionnaire of WOMAC scale hence further use of WOMAC scale was not used in the present study (it is better to not mentioned this scale as one method of assay if not done). It is better to mention every table and figure in article within discussion e.g. table 4,5,6,7	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmood J. Jawad
Department, University & Country	Al Zahrawi University College, Iraq