

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_89291
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Study of Post-COVID19 Myocarditis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject of this case study is interesting. The writing style is good but can be improved more. The case was presented in a good way with nice figures however I would recommend considering the below revisions to improve manuscript: For the title of case study, please change it to "A case study of COVID-19 vaccine associated myocarditis". For the abstract, please change "COVID-19-associated myocarditis" into "COVID-19 vaccine induced myocarditis". I noticed that this case study is missing keywords section.	
Minor REVISION comments	Does COVID-19 disease also associated with myocarditis or just its vaccine can cause this condition? Please add an answer to this question to introduction part. I would suggest adding a paragraph about possible hypotheses for COVID-19 vaccine associated myocarditis. In case report section, what was the temperature record of the patient? For figures 1, 2 and 3 please write down what each abbreviation stands for in the figure legend. In the discussion: - What the author means by the word "Comirnaty". Did the author refer to the trade name of Pfizer vaccine? - Please change b-blockers into beta blockers. - I would suggest making the discussion section shorter. For the conclusion, I would suggest rewriting this section in a better way.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq