

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_85170
Title of the Manuscript:	Right atrial mass, concomitant right atrium and liver hemangioma
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good work, presenting important characteristics in concomitant primary or metastatic tumors, and good quality in investigation through case study, rare in tumors, in cardiac tumors and in concomitant tumors.</p> <p>As for its opportunity, the importance of diagnosis and surgical treatment of cardiac tumors, the benignity of hemangiomas and myxomas and the malignancy of angiosarcomas, the need for detection of rare diseases and symptomatic correction, demonstrate the opportunity of the study.</p> <p>Regarding the relevance, the concomitance of a cardiac tumor and a liver tumor, the performance of auxiliary diagnostic tests prior and complementary to the appropriate surgical intervention, the risks of an open heart surgery, support this study.</p> <p>As for the methodological correction, the documental format of the original research article per case study is correct, the description and the logic of the development of the work are good, namely the abstract, the methodology in diagnostic evaluations and the discussion and the results, the references bibliographic references are adequate and opportune (a very old reference), the introduction is short, the work is systematized and well organized, without epidemiological mishaps expressed and with well-founded considerations.</p> <p>As for the content, it is a work demonstrating the relevance of a cardiac tumor concomitant with a liver tumor and corresponding extraction of a cardiac hemangioma, with evidence in science, research and surgical therapy, useful for health professionals in general medicine, internal medicine and cardiology .</p> <p>In global analysis, the work "Right atrial mass, concomitant right atrium and liver hemangioma" is a good work, as a scientific article in the case study modality, with great interest in the diagnosis and treatment of cardiac hemangioma associated with hepatic hemangioma.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The introduction and conclusion could be expanded further, distinguishing between hemangiomas, myxomas and angiosarcomas.</p> <p>No reference to the disappearance of dyspnea as a symptom.</p> <p>There is a mistake in the citation of bibliographic reference 10, in the text, where it reads "1893" and in the bibliographic reference it reads "1983".</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Valuable work, demonstrating the importance of diagnoses and rare diseases, in addition to the most frequent ones.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hernâni Pombas Caniço
Department, University & Country	University of Coimbra, Portugal