

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_85949
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects Of Exercise On Weight Among School Children In Amai Ukwuani, Delta State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However the manuscript is not well organized and there are some suggestions/corrections to do in order to make the manuscript more attractive and informative.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Abstract: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Add keywords to the abstract ❖ Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Write it with four paragraph in maximum; ➤ Avoid unnecessarily long paragraphs. Break up your paragraphs into smaller, useful units; ➤ The 1st paragraph should contain the general background of the topic; ➤ The 2nd and 3rd paragraphs should discuss previous works related to the topic; ➤ The last paragraph should Point out issues that are being addressed about the problem and the purpose of the present work. ❖ Materials & Methods <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ It's not good to quote ideas (with dash, dot etc...) into a paper. You should write them with good sentences in the paragraphs ➤ If possible, you could add a data analysis section in the last paragraph with subheadings. ❖ Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Make sure your tables can speak for themselves; ➤ You should organize this section with subheading and do not repeat in words everything that your tables convey. You can, however, point out key findings and offer some text that complements the findings; ➤ You should bring back all tables below their respective paragraphs from the Results section ❖ Discussion: (No problem for the manuscript) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ If possible you could add the limitations of your study in the last paragraph with a subheading. ❖ Conclusion: (No problem for the manuscript) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ If possible you could add the future perspectives of the present work. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon