

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_87288
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioprospecting filamentous fungi from Araucaria moist forests and the Pampa biome: high amylase production with a potential industrial application
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript reports the isolation of fungal isolates from the collected different environmental samples from the Araucaria moist forests and the Pampa biome, which are the characteristic vegetation comprising the south region of Brazil, Uruguay, and Argentina. There are minor queries as below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">(1) What was the sample preparation done and the amount of sample used for isolation of the fungi?(2) For amylase production, 2.9×10^5 spores/mL was added as inoculum. Which method was used to count the spores?(3) Microlitre is written as 'µl' and 'µL'. Correct is as per the journal style and keep it uniform throughout the manuscript.(4) What is the tentative identification of the potential fungal isolates?	
Optional/General comments	<p>There is no new or detailed data in the manuscript but it may be useful as a primary report on the unexplored ecosystem for the isolation of microbial cultures and evaluating their potential for industrially useful enzymes like amylase.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devayani R. Tipre
Department, University & Country	Gujarat University, India