



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_57387
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of cookies produced from malted pigeon pea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Insert space between a number and a unit of measurement throughout the manuscript. - Introduction, page 2, line 1: "Like every other snacks" - not all snacks are made from wheat flour. - In the Introduction, improve the last two paragraphs. Write that Pigeon Pea is a legume. In addition, differentiate between malted and unmalted. - Methodology: the reference Aremu et al. [29] must be numbered in the order that appear in the text = number [7] - Methodology, page 3: Table 1 must be cited in the text. - The authors did not include the item Results and Discussion. Please correct. - In the Results and Discussion, add the missing references, marked in the text. - Report the standard deviation values in the tables. - The discussion should not repeat the results. Do not repeat the numerical values present in the tables in the text - In tables 5 and 6, some letters of the statistic are missing. - References: Correct numbering in the order that they appear in the text. - References are not in accordance with the Author Guidelines, please correct the list. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the Abstract, I suggest removing the first sentence from the Methodology to get 300 words. -Keywords must be different from those in the title. - Page 1, line 1, Introduction: The word "visually" is not adequate. - I suggest removing the "Proportion of ingredients" subsection and incorporating the recipe in "Preparation of cookies". Describe the recipe in the text, in the row. - It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables and figures. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viviane Dias Medeiros Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil