

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_90036
Title of the Manuscript:	ARE WOMEN NOT GOOD FOR THE KEY POWER POSITIONS TOO? AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF WOMEN PARTICIPATION IN NIGERIAN POLITICS FROM 1963 TO 2022
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The research objective is not clear.</li><li>- Even though, it is a library based research, it is better to add primary data to come up with a more reliable justification of the study, because there are large number of women that are being victim.</li><li>- It is better to tabulate the statistical description of the study.</li><li>- There are some grammar and spelling errors.</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andnet Nigussie
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia