

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_87008
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal of Practice and some Socio-Economic Factors on the Utilization of Family Planning Methods in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In background, this study assesses the practice across different zones in Nigeria. Please add contraceptive practice. Similarly, in aim, please add contraceptive practice. Author can skip this sentence "is research assesses the Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) practice and knowledge practice among states in the South-West of Nigeria." It was not related. In method, author should be added study design and study duration. Author can skip this sentence "A structured questionnaire was used to appraise respondents in a state in each of the six geo-political zones." <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In introduction part, in first paragraph, second line, author should be added abbreviation family planning (FP) for first time of using it. Please add one more sentence about justification as why author should do this study. <p>Material and Method</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In material, author should mention study design, study population, study duration. In inclusion criteria, author mentioned females with age group of 15-49 years. Are they all married? Will single women include and why they should use contraceptive practice? In method, sample size calculation and operation definition of study variables should be involved. Normally, wealth index should be divided into five. However, author divided into three, please explain it. Author also should mention which measurement used to calculate wealth index (eg. Household assets or income or expenditures) Sampling Technique should be described more. <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In table 1,2,3,4,5, please use n (%) instead of frequency. Please remove % sign in table 1-5 and also in chi square and logistic tables. In table 6, author mentioned "Also, urban residents have higher knowledge than those residing in rural areas." However, analysis showed no statistically significant. Therefore, this explanation should not be needed. In table 7, I did not understand this sentences, how do you know it? please explain more. "Respondents from the North-West and South-South have considerably higher utilization in comparison with other geopolitical zones. The least utilization is among those from the South-East zone." Also in table 7, this sentences "richer respondents who mostly reside in urban areas have higher reported utilization of contraceptives" should be replaced by "richer respondents have higher reported utilization of contraceptives" because residence variable showed no statistically significant in the analysis. This sentence should be modified "Those with the habit of listening to the radio, reading the newspaper, and watching the television at least once a week have higher odds of uptake than those who do not". If the results showed no statistically significant in the analysis, please do not mention it. <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In wealth index and residence, please remove 3 from compar3ed. (7th line) What are strengths and limitations of this study? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aye Nyein Moe Myint
Department, University & Country	Myanmar