

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_87008
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal of Practice and some Socio-Economic Factors on the Utilization of Family Planning Methods in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Study design should be added to the methodology portion in the abstract The study topic talks about family planning methods but aims to assess FGM as stated in the abstract. The study objective or aim not well stated  In the literature review/introduction, no FGM nor any family planning method mentioned. More recent findings about FP can be seen in NDHS 2013 and 2018 same should be used or added to those in the older version (NDHS 2008) used by the author in this article.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Age group could be collapsed to make 3 or 4 categories Discussion should be done in relation to studies reviewed in the literature Reference list should be adjusted appropriately if the author is using APA format. It should also be arranged alphabetically.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is generally well written and should be published once all corrections by various reviewers are affected.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b> No major ethical issues seen in the manuscript	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adriel Monkam Tchokossa
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria