

Original Research Article

TRANSFER FACTOR OF RADIONUCLIDES FROM SOIL TO PLANT IN SELECTED DUMP SITE OF OBIO/AKPOR AND IKWERRE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF RIVERS STATE

Comment [j1]: Should be "selected radionuclides"

Abstract

Indiscriminate dumping of municipal and industrial wastes in our environment has increased human exposure to hazardous substances which is detrimental to their health. In this research, radionuclide transfer factor was ascertained from crops planted near major dump sites in Rivers State. Samples of food crops and soil near the dumpsites were collected for gamma spectroscopy. The specific activity concentration for crop (cassava) and soil are presented in table 1, 2. Table 3 shows the transfer factor. The average activity concentration of ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th in soil are $115.87 \pm 17.01 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$, $31.54 \pm 7.13 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ and $18.77 \pm 6.02 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$. And the average activity concentration in crop are $403.46 \pm 13.06 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$, 9.54 ± 4.02 and 22.24 ± 5.11 respectively for ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th . In soil, all the radionuclide values were all within the world average value and in crop, the values were also within the world average value except for potassium (^{40}K) that was more prevalent in crop and exceeds the world average value of 400 Bqkg^{-1} . The activity concentration of radionuclides (^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th) for transfer factor are $8.187667 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$, $0.231667 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ and $2.144333 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ respectively with potassium having the highest mean transfer factor which may be due to its higher accumulation in crop. The maximum distribution of transfer factor in radionuclide is in the order of $^{40}\text{K} > ^{238}\text{U} > ^{232}\text{Th}$ respectively, the average is in order of $^{40}\text{K} > ^{238}\text{U} > ^{232}\text{Th}$. The minimum distribution for all the radionuclide is 0.00.

Keywords: Transfer Factor, Specific Activity, Dumpsites

Comment [j2]: Delete, not necessary in the abstract.

Comment [j3]: Replace with "while"

Comment [j4]: Delete, it is already taken care of

Comment [j5]: Add

Comment [j6]: Delete the opening bracket

Comment [j7]: Delete the closing bracket

Comment [j8]: Replace original 'are' with 'is'

Introduction

Our environment and its compartments have been severely contaminated by natural radionuclide, to foster life and render its intrinsic values. Even humans carry naturally occurring radioactive materials within their bodies (Acquah et al., 2013). Natural radioactivity and its corresponding gamma radiation exposure demonstrate geological and geographical dependence. Such materials exist at varying levels in different regions worldwide (Avwiri and Agbalagba, 2007). Primordial isotopes of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K are the main sources of external radiation on earth; (UNSCEAR, 2000). These radionuclides, along with essential nutrients, may be absorbed from the soil via plant roots and transported to other parts of the plant. The presence of radioactivity in the edible parts of crops causes human internal exposure to ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th which are radiotoxic elements, whereas ^{40}K is both radiotoxic and nutritionally important (Gaffer et al., 2013). Natural exposures arise mainly from the primordial radionuclides which are distributed widely and are present in almost all geological materials in the earth's environment. These radionuclides are known as naturally occurring radioactive material 'NORM'. the majority of naturally occurring radionuclide belong to the radionuclides in the ^{238}U and ^{232}Th series, and the single decay radionuclide, ^{40}K , the most common radiation exposure to which all individual are exposed, both in public places and in working environment is the ionizing radiation which arises from the Radionuclides in the earth's environment and the interaction of cosmic rays on the earth's atmosphere (atm). According to National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP, 1998), the most significant source of radiation exposure to man is from the natural radiation in the environment.

Comment [j9]: How can radioactive nuclides foster life?

Comment [j10]: Use capital 'K'

Comment [j11]: Create space

Comment [j12]: Capitalize

Comment [j13]: Replace with 'NORM' because you have defined in previous sentence

Comment [j14]: Delete this phrase because it is repeated.

Comment [j15]: This sentence is too long and the points being advanced is lost. Revise by splitting and presenting different points individually.

Comment [j16]: Rearrange as suggested

There is always a need to have baseline background level information about natural radionuclides and the radiological impact of radionuclides released in the environment, which is usually predicted by mathematical models in which the transfer of radionuclides from soil to the plant is described with the transfer factor (TF). The most commonly encountered radionuclides are ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , their decay products and ^{40}K . It is important to understand the behavior of natural radionuclides in the environment (distribution pathways, mobility, transfers, etc.) because the information can be used as a natural analogue for the long-term behavior of materials, processes in developing and testing models, and in obtaining the associated parameter values appropriate for radiological performance assessments (Chen, *et al.*, 2005).

Comment [j17]: You have already mentioned this in line 5/6 in last paragraph and shouldn't repeat it again.

Many studies on food contamination radionuclides in the environment and their transfer or pathway mechanism to plant, animals, and human population have been reported. Considerable efforts are being made by many authors in many parts of the world to measure the activity of radionuclides in the food chain and to estimate the soil-plant transfer.

Comment [j18]: Put 'by' between these words

Comment [j19]: Better 'researchers'

Interactions between radionuclides and plants are very complicated and depend on many factors such as type and shape of plants, soil characteristics, behavior of radionuclides, climatic conditions, etc.

Comment [j20]: Combine with previous paragraph, addresses same theme.

In many states across the country, waste are usually burnt outdoors and ashes are poorly disposed at dump-site, the process destroys the organic components and causes the oxidation of metals. The ashes from the burnt waste is enriched with metal, which results in pollution of the present environment/Soil. (Ononugbo *et al.*, 2019).

Comment [j21]: Make it plural 'wastes'

Comment [j22]: Replace with 'are'

Following the fact that food is one of the most important needs of man and the increasing world population has become a threat to global food security. The need to increase food production therefore arises to ensure food security for the growing world population.

Just like the rest of the world, Nigeria's population is increasing and there is also the need to increase availability of food by increasing the rate of food production that is free from unnecessary exposure to radiation. Cassava as a tuber is one of the staple food for over one billion (1,000,000,000) people in the developing world, (Ononugbo and Anyalebechi, 2007). In Nigeria, it appears to be the major staple food that matches population growth.

Cassava is a tropical, perennial plant with an dibble root serving as a major source of carbohydrates in human diet as a vegetable and is considered to be toxic in raw form therefore it must be cooked before being consumed (FAO, 2010). Cassava originated from tropical America and was first introduced in Africa in the Congo basin by the Portuguese around 1558. Cassava has been the major source of the production of dry chips, used as animal feed, ethanol production, with more secondary products like textile, soft drinks adhesives etc. starch making which is used in the production of biscuits, bread custard powder, baby food etc. These products are highly commercialized. Generally, Africans depends much on root and tuber crops more than other Continents in feeding its population, it is processed in several forms in Nigeria such as garri (for making eba popular food in Nigeria), fufu, tapioca, (African salad) served with nuts and coconut (Ononugbo *et al.*, 2017).

Material and Methods

Sample Collection

Total of sixty (60) sample (30 soil and 30 cassava) were collected from selected refuse dump sites in Ignatius Ajuru University of Education (IAUE), Port Harcourt in Obio/Akpor Local Government Area, Aluu and Igwuruta in Ikwerre Local Government Area all in Rivers State.

Collection of Soil Sample and Preparation

60 samples of soil were collected from same points as the corresponding crops using a hand trowel, from the refuse dumpsites all in Rivers State, at 20 cm depth according to Avwiri (2011). After its collection, the samples were pulverized to powdery form and sieved through a 2 mm mesh and air-dried for 3-5 weeks. The sample were weighed to 200 g of each soil and packed in special air tight polyethlen plastic containers and closed (tightly) sealed using masking –tape for 28 days to give room for secular equilibrium before gamma counting (Idowu, 2014).

Comment [j23]: Should be 30 samples as mentioned in previous paragraph

Comment [j24]: Create space between numerical value and unit

1. Preparation of Cassava (Crop)

Total of thirty (30) cassava samples were collected from the three (3) study area (IAUE, Aluu and Igwuruta). The samples were pilled and washed with pipe-borne water to remove the dust and mud content from it. The tubers samples were chopped and sun- dried according to its location and sample point for about three (3) weeks, then pulverized in a powdered form toachieve homogeneity and then filled in an air-tight polyethylene materials for 28 days to achieve secular equilibrium all the samples are in 200 g (0.2 kg)

Comment [j25]: Itemization number be deleted

Comment [j26]: How did you measure the activity of the samples? You need a section also to explain how you made the measurements experimentally.

Comment [j27]: Choose only one presentation, like you did in last pagraph, it is understood.

Sample Analysis

The transfer Factor (TF) is defined as the ratio of the activity concentration in a plant part ($Bqkg^{-1}$ dry weight) to the activity concentration in soil ($Bqkg^{-1}$ dry weight). It is calculated following a simple model which is also recommended by International Union of Radioecology (IUR) and its standardize root location of 10-20 cm in order to deal with the soil depth variability (Avwiri *et al.*, 2007).

$$TF = \frac{A_p B_q k g^{-1}}{A_s B_q k g^{-1}}$$

Where;

A_p = Activity concentration of radionuclide (isotopes) of plant ($Bqkg^{-1}$ dry weight).

A_s = Activity Concentration of radionuclides (Isotopes) of soil ($Bqkg^{-1}$ dry weight).

Comment [j28]: Check

Comment [j29]: In equation above, put the units in brackets to distinguish them from equation parameters

Comment [j30]: You should have included this in paragraph before the equation where you are defining FF.

Comment [j31]: Delete

Comment [j32]: Are these results experimental?

You collected 60 samples and my premise is that measurements were done somehow.

My position is that same instrument was used to measure the activity concentration for soil and crop samples. If that is the case the accuracy or error in all measured values should be the same, regardless of the radionuclide, and sampling points. So, to me, these results are not properly presented because the errors or different for different samples.

Table needs to be redesign with regard to columns headings.

- S/N column serve no purpose because it is taken care of by sample codes, which are serialized as 01, 02, 03, ...
- 2nd to 4th columns should be put under a column title 'Activity concentration of radionuclides in crop samples ($\pm x.xx Bqkg^{-1}$ dry weight)

Comment [j33]: Add

Results of Findings

Table 1: Specific Activity Concentration of Radionuclide in Crops Samples

S/N	SAMPLE CODE	⁴⁰ K	²³⁸ U	²³² Th
1	ALUU CAS 01	564.30±44.75	BDL	13.20 ± 1.40
2	ALUU CAS 02	594.33±47.13	BDL	1.20 ± 0.12
3	ALUU CAS 03	432.62±34.50	BDL	47.99 ± 4.92
4	ALUU CAS 04	523.23±41.80	BDL	34.32 ± 3.54
5	ALUU CAS 05	680.22±54.11	BDL	36.40 ± 3.77

6	ALUU CAS 06	839.40±66.72	BDL	3.49 ± 0.36
7	ALUU CAS 07	326.90±26.40	BDL	18.32 ± 1.92
8	ALUU CAS 08	311.02±21.9	27.23±1.23	28.50± 2.32
9	ALUU CAS 09	452.01± 21.09	25.33± 3.20	23.15±0.34
10	ALUU CAS 10	253.24±20.12	43.22±2.71	22.51±2.18
11	IAUE CAS 01	217.27±23.15	28.45±2.36	7.26±0.29
12	IAUE CAS 02	207.28±2.35	40.12±2.34	8.91±0.37
13	IAUE CAS 03	222.31±17.97	BDL	18.18 ± 1.89
14	IAUE CAS 04	210.20±16.94	BDL	26.13 ± 2.71
15	IAUE CAS 05	752.10±59.85	BDL	16.93 ± 1.75
16	IAUE CAS 06	276.22±22.50	16.16±3.80	8.10 ± 0.83
17	IAUE CAS 07	348.31±28.20	BDL	24.84 ± 2.60
18	IAUE CAS 08	399.90±32.21	BDL	21.13 ± 2.20
19	IAUE CAS 09	218.99±18.03	6.97±1.64	47.99 ± 4.95
20	IAUE CAS 10	210.72±12.10	2.34±0.25	28.22±1.8
21	IGU CAS 01	640.41±50.80	BDL	9.66 ± 1.01
22	IGU CAS 02	543.20±21.03	47.29±2.88	12.32±2.08
23	IGU CAS 03	547.60±43.76	BDL	10.70 ± 1.11
24	IGU CAS 04	310.20±25.10	35.63 ± 8.40	12.91 ± 1.34
25	IGU CAS 05	429.81±34.60	6.35 ± 1.52	44.90 ± 4.60
26	IGU CAS 06	640.41±50.80	BDL	9.66 ± 1.01
27	IGU CAS 07	187.63±15.32	BDL	34.9 ± 3.60
28	IGU CAS 08	25.33±2.12	BDL	11.32 ± 1.20
29	IGU CAS 09	436.82±35.24	BDL	54.84 ± 5.70
30	IGU CAS 10	302.01±23.19	7.28±2.16	32.42±3.18
Average		403.46±13.06	9.54±4.02	22.24±5.11

Table 2: Specific Activity Concentration of Radionuclides in Soil Samples

Comment [j34]: See comments for Table 1

S/N	SAMPLE CODE	⁴⁰ K	²³⁸ U	²³² Th
1	ALUU SOIL 01	BDL	2.92 ± 0.70	4.32 ± 0.43
2	ALUU SOIL 02	32.80±2.53	9.82 ± 2.30	2.90 ± 0.30
3	ALUU SOIL 03	50.32±3.81	9.80 ± 2.10	7.50 ± 0.73
4	ALUU SOIL 04	291.30±22.04	8.40 ± 1.80	4.32 ± 0.42
5	ALUU SOIL 05	27.44±3.05	50.04±14.10	12.71 ± 1.55
6	ALUU SOIL 06	64.50±6.82	19.37±5.51	77.73 ± 8.43
7	ALUU SOIL 07	127.88±12.81	13.52±3.78	8.00 ± 1.01


8	ALUU SOIL 08	129.92±13.60	28.15±7.66	62.76 ± 7.08
9	ALUU SOIL 09	272.86±2183	BDL	29.74 ± 3.06
10	ALUU SOIL 10	89.35±2.66	43.22±3.26	37.22±6.15
11	IAEU SOIL 01	19.01±2.13	29.65±8.50	53.72 ± 6.11
12	IAEU SOIL 02	224.60±17.30	BDL	2.92 ± 0.30
13	IAEU SOIL 03	283.10±21.41	11.70 ±2.51	4.10 ±0.40
14	IAUE SOIL 04	81.50±8.33	53.40 ± 14.23	21.64 ± 2.64
15	IAEU SOIL 05	56.40±6.10	84.97±23.98	2.73 ±0.40
16	IAEU SOIL 06	8.17±0.92	61.50±16.20	13.40±1.65
17	IAEU SOIL 07	160.90±16.26	62.30±17.50	13.40 ± 1.62
18	IAEU SOIL 08	37.25±4.20	62.44±16.54	33.10 ± 3.83
19	IAUE SOIL 09	147.83±15.65	28.93±7.73	50.13 ± 5.78
20	IAEU SOIL 10	21.05±2.23	81.05±21.31	14.65 ± 1.80
21	IGU SOIL 01	60.10±4.55	BDL	7.72 ± 0.80
22	IGU SOIL 02	533.20±41.10	BDL	6.10 ± 0.60
23	IGU SOIL 03	256.70±19.60	8.20±1.90	9.70 ± 1.0
24	IGU SOIL 04	28.73±2.22	9.52±2.2	3.50 ± 0.34
25	IGU SOIL 05	124.60±9.61	9.40±2.22	5.0 ± 0.50
26	IGU SOIL 06	80.96±8.10	71.23 ± 19.12	15.91 ± 1.96
27	IGU SOIL 07	58.62±4.93	1.60 ± 0.37	19.60 ±2.03
28	IGU SOIL 08	96.30±10.10	68.98 ± 18.70	19.90 ± 2.42
29	IGU SOIL 09	53.70±5.54	51.11±13.50	7.70 ± 0.95
30	IGU SOIL 10	57.30±3.44	65.23±2.77	11.21±1.22
Average		115.87±17.01	31.54±7.13	18.77±6.02
ICRP		400.00	30.00	35.00

Table 3: Transfer Factor

S/No	Sample	⁴⁰ K	²³⁴ U	²³² Th
1	ALUU 01	0.00	0.00	3.00
2	ALUU 02	18.11	0.00	0.41
3	ALUU 03	8.59	0.00	6.31
4	ALUU 04	1.79	0.00	7.94
5	ALUU 05	24.78	0.00	2.86
6	ALUU 06	13.03	0.00	0.04
7	ALUU 07	2.55	0.00	0.00
8	ALUU 08	2.00	0.96	0.45
9	ALUU 09	32.0	0.00	0.77

Comment [j35]: See comments for Table 1

10	ALUU 10	2.83	0.00	0.60
11	IAUE 01	11.42	0.95	0.13
12	IAUE 02	0.92	0.00	3.05
13	IAUE 03	0.72	0.00	4.43
14	IAUE 04	2.59	0.00	1.20
15	IAUE 05	13.33	0.00	6.20
16	IAUE 06	33.8	0.26	0.60
17	IAUE 07	2.16	0.00	1.85
18	IAUE 08	10.73	0.00	0.63
19	IAUE 09	1.48	0.24	0.95
20	IAUE 10	10.01	0.02	1.92
21	IGU 01	10.65	0.00	1.25
22	IGU 02	1.01	0.00	2.01
23	IGU 03	2.13	0.00	1.10
24	IGU 04	10.79	3.74	3.68
25	IGU 05	3.44	0.67	0.00
26	IGU 06	7.91	0.00	0.60
27	IGU 07	3.20	0.00	1.78
28	IGU 08	0.26	0.00	0.56
29	IGU 09	8.13	0.00	7.12
30	IGU 10	5.27	0.11	2.89
31	Minimum	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	Maximum	33.8	3.74	7.94
33	Average	8.187667	0.231667	2.144333
34	Sum	245.63	6.95	64.33



Comment [j36]: Put a hyphen between chemical symbol and atomic mass number

Figure 1: Percentage Contribution of Radionuclide in Transfer Factor

Discussion of Results

Results from Specific Activity Concentration of Radionuclides (Bqkg⁻¹) in the Three Refuse Dumpsites

The result for the concentration of specific activity of radionuclide of potassium (⁴⁰K), Uranium (²³⁸U) and Thorium (²³²Th) in cassava tuber samples are presented in table 1. The activity concentration due potassium (⁴⁰K) ranged from 25.33±2.12 to 839.40±66.72 with its mean value of 9.54±4.02 Bqkg⁻¹ and the concentration of Thorium (²³²Th) varies between 1.20±0.12 to 47.99±4.95 with its mean value of 22.24±5.11 Bqkg⁻¹ respectively. The result obtained is less than the one reported by Ononugbo et al., (2019). The result for the concentration of specific activity of radionuclide of potassium (⁴⁰K), Uranium (²³⁸U) and Thorium (²³²Th) in soil samples are presented in Table 2. The activity concentration due potassium (⁴⁰K) ranged from BDL to 533.20±4.55 Bqkg⁻¹ with its mean value of 115.87±17.01 Bqkg⁻¹. When compared with the world standard, it is below its permissible limit of 400.00 Bqkg⁻¹. The concentration of Uranium (²³⁸U) in soil ranged from BDL to 68.98±18.70 with its mean value of 31.54±7.13 Bqkg⁻¹ it shows increase in the level of Uranium (²³⁸U) which could be due to indiscriminate dumping of different types of waste, but may not cause immediate health effect. Concentration of Thorium (²³²Th) in soil sample lies between 2.73±0.40 to 77.73±8.43 with mean value of 18.77±6.02 Bqkg⁻¹ respectively, which is below the permissible limits of 35.00 Bqkg⁻¹, indicating that there will be no potential radiological risk. The results obtained, is above that related by Avwiri and Agbalagba (2014).

Transfer Factor

Comment [j37]: Use chemical symbols only because you have already defined before!

Comment [j38]: Capitalize

Comment [j39]: Use symbol only

Comment [j40]: Of

Comment [j41]: q

Comment [j42]: use symbol only

Comment [j43]: Delete, serve no purpose.

No discussion is made about Uranium concentration in the crop samples?

Comment [j44]: use symbols only

Comment [j45]: use symbol

Comment [j46]: use symbol only

Comment [j47]: put full stop before it and capitalize it

Comment [j48]: symbol

Comment [j49]: use symbol only

The Transfer Factor (TF) is the ration that depicts the quantity of radionuclides expected to enter the crop from soil (Rodrigueze *et. al.*, 2002).

The transfer factors are recorded in table 3 for potassium uranium and thorium, the transfer factor ranged from 0.00-33.809, 0800-3.742 and 0.044-7.944 respectively with its means concentration of 7.194, 0.243 and 2.527 respectively. These values are all above the recommended IAEA value for 0.04 and 0.05 for potassium, uranium and thorium respectively. This implies that the crop (cassava) may be radiologically harmful to human health. The high value of transfer factor for potassium may be due to its adaptability of plant to environmental measures (Pulhani *et. al.*, 2005). It may also be enhanced by the unnecessary disposal of refuse of different kind. The range of radionuclide due transfer factor are in other of ^{40}K , ^{232}Th < ^{238}U . the rate at which they are transferred to cassava is still moderate. From the definition of transfer factor, it is assumed that the plant concentration increases with increase soil concentration (Tchokossa *et. al.*, 2013). The result in this work shows the opposite of this assumption. The activity concentration of ^{40}K in soil sample IGU Soil 04 is 28.73 ± 2.22 with transfer factor of 1.018. The transfer factor result of this work buttresses the fact that transfer factors are not linearly related to soil concentration (Martinez *et al.*, 1997). Many factors affect the transfer factor such as physiochemical characteristics of radioisotopes and soil, plant species, soil pH and fertility, plant type, organic matter content and soil management practices. Comparing the result with available literatures, the result in this work is higher than the values obtained by Tchokossa *et al.*, (2013), Avwiri *et al.*, (2013), and Ilemona *et al.*, (2016). This could be due to difference in soil properties indiscriminate dumping of different class/types of refuse around the farmland and climatic conditions of the area (IAEA, 2010).

Comment [j50]: capitalize

Comment [j51]: does it contradict the inference made for results in Table 1?

Comment [j52]: It is also a nutrient? How did you distinguish between stable and radioactive K isotopes?

Comment [j53]: Is this statement correct based on the average values of TFs?

Figure 1. shows the percentage contribution of radionuclide in transfer factor, where potassium was recorded 78%, Uranium 2% and Thorium 20% respectively.

Conclusion

The naturally radioactivity levels has been measured in cultivated soil and the most stable crop (cassava) from selected refuse-dump site in Obio/Akpor and Ikwerre Local Government Area of Rivers State in Nigeria. The activity concentration of transfer factor for ^{40}K , ^{238}U ^{232}Th estimated in the transfer factor are 8.187, 0.231 and 2.144 respectively. The mean concentration of all the radioisotopes in the crop may not cause instant health hazard to the public but there may be a long term accumulative effect following present dose intake from the consumption of the crop (cassava).

References

- Acquah, U. F. and Pooko-Aikins, M.. Foodstuffs and cancer: analysis of radionuclides and its radiation levels in common Ghanaian maize International. *Journal of Science Basic Applied Resources*: 2013, 12:1-7
- Agbalagha, E.,Onoja .R. Evaluation of natural radioactivity in soil, sediment and water samples of Niger Delta (Biseni) flood plain lakes, Nigeria. *Journal of environmental radioactivity* 102(7.): 2011, 667–671
- Avwiri, G.O. &Agbalagba E., *Assessment of Natural Radioactivity Associated Radiological Health Hazards Indices and Soil-to-Crop Transfer Factors in Cultivated Area around a Fertilizer Factory in Onne, Nigeria*. Environmental Earth Science. 2014, 71:1541-1549.
- Avwiri, G.O. and Aghalagba, O.E. (2007). Survey of gross alpha and gross beta radionuclide activity in Okpara creek, Delta state, Nigeria. *Asia Network for science Information Journal of Applied Science* 7(22): 2014, 3542–3547
- Avwiri, G.O., Aghalagba, E.A. Assessment of natural radioactivity associated radiological health hazard indices and soil to crop transfer factors in cultivated area around a fertilizer factory in Onne, Nigerian. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 2007, 7(1) 7-12.
- Chen, S.B., Zhu, Y.G., Hu, Q.H..Soil to plant transfer of ^{238}U , ^{226}Ra on uranium mine slag-contaminated soils from southeast China. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*: 2005, 82 (2), 223–236
- Chiozzi, P., Pasquale, V., Verdoya, M. Naturally occurring radioactivity at the AlpsApennines transition. 2005, 35, 147–154
- Eriksson, J., Obornl., JanssonG.,Andersson A. Factors influencing Cd-content in crops. Results from Swedish field investigations. *Swedish Journal of Agricultural Resources*: 1996, 26: 125-33.
- Felix *et al.*, Assessment of Indoor and Outdoor Background Radiation Levels in Plateau State, University, Bokokos Jos, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Earth Science*. ISSN 2224-3216. 2015, 5(8), 2015.
- Gaffer, S.M.J. Ferdous, A., Begum &Uilag, S.M. (2014) *Malaysian Journal of Soil Science*. Vol. 18:(61-74). Transfer of Natural Radionuclides from soil to plants in North western part of Dhaka Bangladesh
- Geoffrey, K. Kinuthia, Veronica Ngure and Luna Kamau. Levels of heavy metals in wastewater and soil samples from open drainage channels in Nairobi Kenya. *Journal of Scientific Reports*: 2020, 8434.
- Gupta, M. Mahur,A.K. Varshney,R. Sonkawade, R.G. Verma, K.D. &Prasad,R. Measurement of natural radioactivity and radon exhalation rate in fly ash samples from a thermal power plant and estimation of radiation doses and measurements: 2013, 50:160-165
- IAEA. Guidelines for radioelement mapping using gamma ray spectrometry data. IAEA-TECDOC- 2003, 1363.
- IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency). The interception, initial and post deposition retentionby vegetation of dry- and wet-deposited radionuclides, Vienna, 1995.

ICRU (International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurement). Quantities, units and terms in Radioecology, Bethesda, MD, 1998.

Idowu, M. Physics in Radiation Application and Safety for National Technological Advancement. Nigeria Institute of Physics Conference, NIP, 2014.

Ilemona, C. Okeme, Iyeh, V. Sule, Nornet, N. Jibiri & Hamed, O. Shittu. Radioactivity Concentrations in Soil and Transfer Factors of Radionuclides (^{226}Ra , ^{40}K and ^{232}Th) from soil to rice in Kogi State, Nigeria. *Archives of Applied Science Research*, 2016, 8(6): 34-38.

Iran. *Journal of Radiation Resources*. Activity concentrations of ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K and gamma radiation absorbed dose rate levels in farm soil for the production of different brands of cigarette tobacco smoked in Nigeria. N.N. Jibiri and P.E. Biere: 2011, 8(4): 201-206

Juber, J.H., Subber, Abdul R. Natural radioactivity of some local and imported fertilizer in Basrah Governorate/Iraq. Scholar Research Library, *Archive of Physics Research*: 2014, 5(5):18-22. 5

Jwanhot, D. I., Izam, M.M and G.G Nyam, Radioactivity in Some Food Crops from High Background Radiation Area on the Jos —Plateau, Nigeria, *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 2012, 2(6), 76-79.

Karunakara, N., Rao, C., Ujwal, P., Yashodhara, I., Kumara, S. and Ravi, P. Soil to rice transfer factors for ^{226}Ra , ^{228}Ra , ^{210}Pb , ^{40}K and ^{137}Cs : a study on rice grown in India. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 2013, 118, 80-92.

National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). Evaluating the Reliability of biokinetic and dosimetric models and parameters used to assess individual dose' for risk assessment purposes, in commentary No. 15 ICRP. Maryland, USA, 1998.

Okei, M.C, Agwu, K.K. and Idigo, F.U. Natural Radioactivity in cultivated land in the vicinity of a phosphate fertilizer plant in Nigeria. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2012, 81:1823-1826

Ononugbo, C.P., Anyalebechi, C.D. Natural Radioactivity level and radiological risk assessment of surface water from Coastal communities of Ndokwa East, Delta State. Physical Science. *International Journal* 14(1): 1-14, Article Psy 31782, 2017, ISSN 2348-0130

Ononugbo, C.P., Azikiwe, O. & Avwiri, G.O. Uptake and distribution of Natural Radionuclides in Cassava crops from Nigeria Government farms. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*. 2019, 23(5): 1-5, ISSN: 3320-0227

Pulhani, V., Dafauti, S., Hegde, A., Sharma, R. & Mishra, U. Uptake and distribution of natural radioactivity in wheat plants from soil. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 2005, 79(3), 331-346 .

Shanthi, G., Kurnaran, J. T. T., Raj, G. A. G. and Maniyan, C. Transfer factor of the radionuclides in food crops from high—background radiation area of south west India. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 2012, 149(3), 327-332

United Nation Science Committee on the Effect of Atomic Radiation UNSCEAR. Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation; Report to the General Assembly with Annex B. United Nations, New York, 2010.

United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). Sources and effects of ionizing radiation (Report to the General Assembly). United Nation, New-York, 2000.