

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_85584
Title of the Manuscript:	A numerical approximation for the one-dimensional Burger–Fisher equation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper investigates numerical solution of the one-dimensional Burger–Fisher equation. It applied the Crank–Nicolson method to set up its solving equations under a system of nonlinear difference equations. The paper demonstrates its advantages via several numerical examples. Compared with analytical methods, the method proposed in this paper demonstrates better accuracy.</p> <p>The scheme of the paper is fit for the journal and the paper is well written. I propose to accept the manuscript under the following revisions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is better to incorporated with some fresh application in the introductory part to bring the background of the topic because the topic mentioned in the manuscript is a little older(20 years ago, it was a hot one). Most of the references are old. The author should revise them with recent ones. 2. It is better to explain why analytical methods are less accurate so as to make the proposed method more convincible. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xingbo Wang
Department, University & Country	Foshan University, China