

Original Research Article

EFFECTS OF 5E-CYCLIC AND MENTAL MODELS INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES ON JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS' LEARNING OUTCOMES IN BASIC SCIENCE IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA

Abstract

The study investigated the effects of 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance and self-efficacy in Basic Science. The study adopted non-equivalent, pretest-posttest control group quasi-experimental research design. The population for the study comprised all junior secondary school students in Ondo State, Nigeria. The sample size consisted of 95 junior secondary school two (JSII) students in three intact classes. The three (3) classes were assigned randomly to two experimental groups (5e-cyclic-model and mental-model instructional strategies) and one control group (teacher expository method). Two instruments were used for data collection namely: Basic Science Concept Test (BSCT) and Basic Science Self-Efficacy Rating Scale (BSSERS). Data collected were analysed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The results showed that there was a significant effect of treatments on the academic performance of students taught with 5e-cyclic-model and mental-model instructional strategies and teacher expository method with students taught using 5e-cyclic-model and mental model showing better performance than teacher expository. Also, significant effect of treatment was found in the self-efficacy of students taught with 5e-cyclic-model and mental-model with students from both techniques performed better than teacher expository. The study concluded that 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies had improved the academic performance and self-efficacy of students in Basic Science. Therefore, it was recommended that teachers should use 5e-cyclic model and mental model instructional strategies to teach basic science concepts because the instructional strategies have been demonstrated to be more effective as a teaching-learning strategies for basic science than teacher expository method.

Comment [G1]: Basic Science

Comment [G2]: Start with upper case

Keywords: 5e-cyclic model, Mental model, Teacher expository method, Academic performance, Self-efficacy

1. Introduction

Science is one of the most powerful tools that man has ever devised for exploring new worlds. Science is the intensive human effort to understand the natural world's past and how it operates, using verifiable physical evidence as the foundation of knowledge (Animola & Bello, 2019). It is conducted out by formulating and testing hypotheses based on observational evidence; experiments are useful when they are used, but their primary purpose is to make

observation easier under controlled conditions. Science education was integrated into the Nigerian school curriculum in acknowledgment of the relevance of science. Science education is an important aspect of a child's basic education because it prepares them to live in a world where science and technology are increasingly shaping their lives (International Council for Science ICS, 2002). According to Agboola and Oloyede (2013), one of the purposes of scientific education is to enhance students' enthusiasm in science and technology. Science education is one of the most significant disciplines in schools because of its value to students' lives. It allows students to develop lifelong abilities such as problem solving and critical thinking, which help them to produce ideas, weigh options wisely, and even comprehend the information that informs public policy.

However, At the higher basic level, Basic Science (officially known as Integrated Science) was introduced as the basic foundation for the other sciences. It is a subject that introduces students to the world of science after they have learned the principles of science in elementary school (Odetoyinbo, 2004). Afuwape (2012), described Basic Science as a weapon for understanding, embracing and propelling science at the grass root. It is characterized as a style of teaching science in which concepts and principles are presented in such a way that they show the basic unity of scientific thought while avoiding an overemphasis on scientific domain distinctions (Bajah, 1983). Basic Science has several aims, one of which is to serve as a basis for higher-level science education or scientific literacy. Despite the importance of Basic Science to a country's progress and socioeconomic development, students' interest and proficiency in the subject is waning in Nigerian classrooms. Several researches have shown that Nigerian science classrooms are compounded with lot of challenges; prominent among which are poor teaching strategies adopted by teachers (Agboola & Olajide, 2015; Akinwumi & Bello, 2015); students' lack of interest in the sciences (Okigbo & Okeke, 2011); gender (Oluwatosin & Bello, 2015); availability and usability of science laboratory equipment's (Bello, 2012); students' anxiety (Jegede, 2010); students' self-efficacy (Aslam & Ali, 2017) and the abstract nature of science (Alebiosu, Bilesanmi-Awoderu & Oludipe, 2017).

According to Holbrook (2011), students pursue science to improve factual knowledge and talents as well as to pass subject knowledge tests. In order to get a meaningful understanding and assimilation of facts, individualized learning also needs learners' devotion and interest, as well as active participation in the learning process. This means that students who reflect on what they have learned, develop an interest in the subject, and acquire new knowledge based on what they have learned will have a more meaningful and successful learning experience. Moreover, many factors influence students' science performance and one of the most essential is self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997). Self-efficacy is a person's belief in his or her ability to manage and achieve the concrete plan needed to reach certain objectives. Self-efficacy refers to a person's belief in their ability to achieve high levels of performance, fulfill targets or milestones, and complete tough tasks (Gaumer Erickson, Soukup, Noonan & McGurn, 2018). In a nutshell, the belief in one's ability to execute tough tasks and that one's skill can grow with effort is known as self-efficacy (Gaumer Erickson & Noonan, 2016). Most students believe that if they have strong

Comment [G3]: Lower case

Comment [G4]: formerly

Comment [G5]: Use current citation

Comment [G6]: Restructure

self-efficacy, they will have a higher chance of success in science projects and courses (Kupermintz, Lau & Roeser, 2002). Students with strong science self-efficacy set more ambitious objectives for themselves and work harder to accomplish them than students with low science self-efficacy. As a result, a combination of self-efficacy and drive appears to be a strong predictor of academic accomplishment in science, and its complexity warrants more investigation.

Learners use a variety of methods to acquire information and knowledge. Models of instruction were created to teach students tools that will help them think clearly and rationally, as well as develop social skills and dedication. They teach students how to learn by assisting them in acquiring information, ideas, skills, values, and methods of thinking and expressing oneself (Eggen & Kauchak, 2012). Each of the models has a set of general strategies. A model can give a teacher structure and direction, but it cannot provide all of the actions that a teacher takes, because of this premise, the model differs from normal teaching methodologies. All instructional scenarios are regarded to be applicable to general teaching approaches. However, these teaching styles are not appropriate for all teaching circumstances. Teaching models are a tool that can assist skilled teachers teach more successfully by making their lessons more methodical and efficient, but they are not a replacement for good teaching skills.

Hence, 5E-cyclic model is a constructivist paradigm that allows students to acquire a new concept thoroughly from a previously learned concept. According to O'Brien (2013), the 5E teaching cycle is an instructional paradigm for developing a sequence of experientially rich lessons that are conceptually connected and developmentally scheduled to enable the continuous, progressive refining of student understanding over time. The 5E paradigm focuses on pupils discovering new concepts and associating them with prior knowledge. Students create their own knowledge about a specific problem with the help of planned and implemented learning-teaching activities. It is a style of teaching and learning that is consistent with inquiry's preferred status and moves away from a teacher-centered approach toward a student-centered approach. It has been discovered to result in much improved scientific conceptions of states of matter and solubility (Eren & Omer, 2009), and photosynthesis and respiration in plants (Balci, Cakiroglu & Tekkaya, 2006). It was also discovered that exposing students to 5E model learning activities improved their scientific performance and positive attitudes regarding learning activities (Liu, Peng, Wu & Lin, 2009). Furthermore, teaching students within the 5E framework improved their mathematical thinking, understanding, and also the permanence of knowledge in trigonometry (Tuna & Kacar, 2013). Its effects on students' learning outcomes in Basic Science deserved investigation.

A mental model is a cognitive construct that describes a person's knowledge of a certain issue in the universe. Mental models are ways for codifying reality in terms of one's understanding of it, or cognitive representations of reality. Mental models, according to Van Merrinboer and Kirschner (2017), are mental representations of how a knowledge field is organized. It is a summary of someone's perspective on how something works in the real world. Johnson-Laird and Byrne (2002) define mental models as mental representations of real and

Comment [G7]: tools

Comment [G8]: What is the 5E model of instruction? Explain each E briefly, you can use diagram /figure for clarity.

imagined events. Furthermore, a mental model is an internal process that cannot be directly observed. It is revealed by an explanation in one or more languages that incorporates texts, visuals, photos, equations, and other elements. One of these languages is concept mapping.

Concept maps are visual aids for organizing and presenting information (Ifenthaler, 2010). They depict concepts, which are commonly represented by circles or boxes, as well as relationships between concepts, which are represented by connecting lines and arrows. These maps are classified as chain or sequential maps, cyclical maps, or hierarchical maps based on their structure. In order to grasp phenomena or complicated reality, a model-centered learning strategy delivers learning circumstances that demand the development and manipulation of mental models. A student could also use common observations of specific features of reality that are pertinent to the phenomenon in the actual world. When these observations are made on a regular basis and properly analyzed, they can assist to add new knowledge and concepts to the mental model and structure them in a comprehensive manner. Nevertheless, the expository instruction of teaching science subjects like Basic Science has been criticized as being too teacher-centered; incapable of enhancing conceptual changes and conceptual understanding of emerging concepts in Basic Science. Two instructional models that have been adjudged to enhance students learning outcomes in Mathematics, Chemistry, and Biology are 5E-cyclic and Mental models. The extent to which these models could enhance students learning outcomes in Basic Science incite investigation, hence this study.

Comment [G9]: delete

1.1. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to see how the 5E-Cyclic-model and Mental-model instructional techniques affected junior secondary school students' academic performance and self-efficacy in Basic Science concepts in Ondo State.

Specifically, this study sets out to:

Comment [G10]: The study sought to:

- i. investigate the effects of 5E-Cyclic and Mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance in Basic Science; and
- ii. determine the effects of 5E-Cyclic and Mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic self-efficacy in Basic Science.

1.2. Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were formulated to guide the study;

H₀₁: There is no significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance in Basic Science concepts.

H₀₂: There is no significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic self-efficacy in Basic Science concepts.

2. Research Design

The study employed non-equivalent pre-test, post-test, control group quasi-experimental research design as described by Campbell and Stanley (2015), to verify the effects of 5E-cyclic model and Mental Model Instructional Strategies on students' academic performance and self-

efficacy of Basic Science concepts. The non-equivalent pre-test, post-test design was used for this study because secondary school exists in intact classes and the randomization of students into groups for experimental purpose is simply not allowed to avoid the disintegration of the classes, this is to ensure that the experiment has a strong level of internal validity. The pre-test and post-test suggested that measurements are taken before and after the introduction of the treatment. The pre-test helps in assessing the differences between the experimental groups and the control group to establish a baseline for the effect of the treatment.

The design is represented schematically as follows:

O ₁	X ₁	O ₂	- Experimental Group A
O ₃	X ₂	O ₄	- Experimental Group B
O ₅	X ₃	O ₆	- Control Group C

where O₁ ; O₃ and O₅ are the pre-test scores of the experimental groups A; B and control group C; while O₂ ; O₄ and O₆ are their respective post-test scores for experimental groups A; B and control group C.

X₁= 5E-cyclic-model Instructional Strategy (5EIS)

X₂= Mental-model Instructional Strategy (MIS)

X₃= Expository Method (EM)

2.1. Population, Sample and Sampling Techniques

The population for the study comprised all the Junior Secondary School Two (JSSII) Students in Ondo State. The sample consisted of 95 Basic Science students in their intact classes in three selected secondary schools in Ondo North Senatorial District of Ondo State. Simple random sampling technique was used in the selection of the three schools. The three selected schools were randomly assigned into experimental groups A and B, and the control group C. The schools were located in a semi-urban area in the southwestern region of Nigeria. Majority of the people in this area are into trading and farming while few percentages of the them are civil servants. The justification for using this area is based on the fact that it has a mixture of literate and neo literate citizens whose children are the samples used in the selected schools.

2.2. Research Instruments

Three research instruments were used for data collection, they are: Basic Science Concepts Test (BSCT) and Basic Science Self-Efficacy Rating Scale (BSSERS).

Basic Science Concepts Test (BSCT)

Basic Science Concepts Test (BSCT) it is a self-designed instrument that was used for pre-test and post-test to measure student's knowledge in Basic Science concepts. BSCT has section A and section B. The section A contained student's demographic information and the section B comprised 25 items 4-option structured conceptual multiple-choice questions drawn from the physical concepts of Light Energy, Magnetism, Force, Satellite and Radioactivity in Basic Science. The detail of item specification for BSCT based on topics selected is presented in Table 1

Table 1 Table of Specification for BSCT Based on Revised Bloom Taxonomy

Comment [G11]: Two

Contents	Remember (44%)	Understand (28%)	Apply (28%)	Total (100%)
Light Energy (28%)	2,7,11	8,10	9,23	7
Magnetism (24%)	6,12,22	13,21	14	6
Radioactivity (16%)	19,20	1,18	-	4
Force (16%)	3		4,16,17	4
Satellite (16%)	5,25	15	24	4
Total (100%)	11	7	7	25

Source: Researcher, 2021

Basic Science Self-Efficacy Rating Scale (BSSERS)

Basic Science Self-Efficacy Rating Scale (BSSERS) adapted from (Dullas, 2018), was modified and was used to assess the students' self-efficacy in Basic Science, before and after the treatment. BSSERS had two sections; section A contained demographic information and section B comprised 40-item self-expressing scale. Item 1-10 Perceived Control in Basic Science; item 11-20 Competence in Basic Science; item 21-30 Persistence in Basic Science and item 31-40 Self-Regulated Learning in Basic Science. Each item was rated on 4-point Likert scale: Strongly Agree (SA) = 4; Agree (A) = 3; Disagree (D) = 2; and Strongly Disagree (DS) = 1.

2.3. Validation of the Instruments

The validity of the drafted Basic Science Concepts Test (BSCT) and Basic Science Self-Efficacy Rating Scale (BSSERS) were submitted to experienced Basic Science teachers in junior secondary schools, the supervisor and experts in test development for face and content validity. They were requested to check for the appropriateness of the items and content coverage considering the grade level of the students and the objectives of the study. Based on their comments and suggestion, which included revising some of the items and dropping some, the number of items was reduced in BSCT from 35 to 30 items and BSSERS from 60 to 40 items. To further strengthen the validity of the BSCT, items analysis was carried out on the BSCT. The 30 remaining BSCT were trial tested on a sample of some selected Basic Science students in a school in the study area not selected for the study. Items analysis were carried out on the data obtained from the pilot study to determine the Difficulty Index (F.I) and Discrimination Index (D.I) of each of the item in the BSCT. For the purpose of this work items with difficulty indices below 0.30 were deleted for being difficult and those above 0.70 were also deleted for being too simple (Mahjabeen, Alam, Hassan, Zafar, Butt, Konain; & Rizvi, 2018). With respect to the discriminating powers or item efficiency, only items with discriminatory indices above 0.21 were accepted test items in assessing students' performance (Mahjabeen, et al. 2018). These further reduced the number of items from 30 to 25 items in the final form of BSCT.

Thereafter, the reliability co-efficient of BSCT was determined using test-retest method while that of BSSERS was determined using Cronbach's Alpha reliability index. For the BSCT,

test-retest method was used to generate two set of scores for the students. For test-retest measurements, the second test which was a reshuffled version of the first, was administered two weeks after the first to the same set of students in the study area not selected for the main study, and the data obtained was analysed using Pearson Product Moment Correlation and the reliability coefficient was found to be 0.73. For the BSSERS, adapted from (Dullas, 2018), the instrument was administered on twenty (20) students sample, different from the schools selected for the study. The Cronbach's Alpha reliability index of SIS was 0.94 was obtained. These observations showed that these instruments were reliable and capable of measuring the intended events with consistency.

Comment [G12]: BSSERS

2.4. Procedure for Data Collection

This was done in phases. In the first phase, the researcher visited the chosen schools to seek for permission to use the students as well as some facilities in the schools. This was followed by the administration of the BSCT and BSSERS as pre-test to the students in the two experimental groups and control group to ascertain the equivalence in ability of the students and self-efficacy of the students. In the second phase, the treatments were introduced to the experimental groups and control group. Students in experimental group A were taught using the 5E-cyclic model while those in experimental group B were taught using the Mental model and control group C were taught using Expository method. Four physical concepts (Light Energy, Magnetism, Radioactivity, Force and Satellite) were taught concurrently in the three schools using the appropriate treatment in each school for a period of eight weeks. In the third phase, the BSCT and BSSERS were re-administered to the three groups as post-test. The pre-test and post-test were scored to generate quantitative data which were analyzed using mean and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). ANCOVA was considered most appropriate since the subjects were treated in their intact class setting.

3. Results and Discussion

Hypothesis One (Ho₁): There is no significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and Mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance in Basic Science in Ondo State.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of the Effect of Instructional Strategies (Treatments) on Junior Secondary School Students' Academic Performance in Basic Science

Instructional Strategy	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
5E-cyclic strategy	30	56.80	15.381
Mental model strategy	31	54.45	13.092
Expository method	34	39.65	13.443
Total	95	49.89	15.849

The descriptive analysis of mean was employed to fulfill the first component of the objectives, which concerned assessing the impacts of 5E-cyclic and Mental models instructional strategies on students' academic performance in Basic Science. Table 1 showed that 30 students exposed to 5E-cyclic strategy recorded the post-test mean Basic Science performance score of $\bar{X} = 56.80$, the 31 students exposed to Mental model strategy recorded post-test mean Basic Science performance score of $\bar{X} = 54.45$, while the 34 students exposed to expository method recorded post-test mean performance score of $\bar{X} = 39.65$. The result from Table 2 revealed that students taught with 5E-cyclic strategy had highest mean performance scores and followed by students taught with mental model strategy.

Table 3: Analysis of Covariance of the Effect of 5ECMIS and MMIS on Junior Secondary School Students' Academic Performance in Basic Science

Source	Type III Sum of Square	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Corrected Model	12580.770 ^a	3	4193.590	34.598	.000	.533
Intercept	7049.141	1	7049.141	58.156	.000	.390
Pre-test Treatment Groups	6936.065	1	6936.065	57.223	.000	.386
Error	6643.184	2	3321.592	27.403	.000	.376
Total	11030.177	91	121.211			
Corrected Total	260112.000	95				
Total	23610.947	94				

a. R Squared = .533 (Adjusted R Squared = .517)

The results of a one-way between-group analysis of covariance were presented in Table 3 to determine the significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and mental models teaching strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance in Basic Science in Ondo State. The post-test mean Basic Science performance scores of the students following exposure to the different levels of instructional strategy demonstrated a significant difference $F_{(2, 91)} = 27.403$, $p < 0.05$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.376$. (5E-cyclic, Mental model & Teacher expository method). The findings also demonstrated that instructional technique alone accounted for 37.6% of the variation in students' academic achievement in Basic science. The null hypothesis (H_{01}) was therefore rejected. As a result, the major effect of 5E-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance in Basic Science in Ondo State was shown to be considerable.

The results of post-hoc test for the groups is presented in the table 4

Table 4. Post-Hoc Test of Pair-wise Comparisons of Basic Science Post-test Scores of Students on Treatments

(I) Strategy	(J) Strategy	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig. ^b	95% Confidence Interval for Difference ^b	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
5CMIS	MMIS	1.372	2.823	1.000	-5.513	8.256
	TEM	18.161*	2.761	.000	11.427	24.895
MMIS	5CMIS	-1.372	2.823	1.000	-8.256	5.513
	TEM	16.789*	2.747	.000	10.090	23.488
TEM	5CMIS	-18.161*	2.761	.000	-24.895	-11.427
	MMIS	-16.789*	2.747	.000	-23.488	-10.090

Based on Estimated Marginal Means. *. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level

Table 4 showed the results of the Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc comparisons conducted to determine where the differences exist among the three groups in this study. It can be observed from the table 4 that students exposed to 5CMIS is statistically significant different from those exposed to TEM ($p < 0.05$). Also, there is statistically significant different between the students exposed to MMIS and TEM ($p < 0.05$). Conversely, there is no statistically significant different between students exposed to 5CMIS and MMIS ($p > 0.05$).

Hypothesis Three (Ho₂): There is no significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and Mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' self-efficacy in Basic Science in Ondo State.

Table 5: Descriptive Statistics of the Effects of Instructional Strategies (Treatment) on Junior Secondary School Students' Self-Efficacy in Basic Science

Instructional Strategy	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
5E-cyclic strategy	30	80.97	10.280
Mental model strategy	31	79.71	11.883
Expository method	34	78.56	12.585
Total	95	79.69	11.587

In order to realize the third objective which bothers on examining the effects of 5E-cyclic and Mental models instructional strategies on students' self-efficacy in Basic Science, descriptive analysis of mean and standard deviation were used. According to the table, 30 students who were exposed to the 5E-cyclic strategy had a post-test mean Basic Science self-efficacy score of $\bar{X} = 80.97$; 31 students who were exposed to the Mental model strategy had a post-test mean Basic

Science self-efficacy score of $\bar{X} = 79.71$; and 34 students who were exposed to the expository method had a post-test mean self-efficacy score of $\bar{X} = 78.56$.

Table 6: Analysis of Covariance of the Effects of 5CMIS and MMIS on Junior Secondary School Students' Self-Efficacy in Basic Science

Source	Type III Sum of Square	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Corrected Model	11722.226 ^a	3	3907.409	395.997	.000	.929
Intercept	212.807	1	212.807	21.567	.000	.192
Pre-test Treatment Groups	11629.814	1	11629.814	1178.625	.000	.928
Error	525.431	2	262.715	26.625	.000	.369
Total Corrected	897.922	91	9.867			
Total	615989.000	95				
Corrected Total	12620.147	94				

a. R Squared = .929 (Adjusted R Squared = .927)

Table 6 showed the result of A-one-way between-group analysis of covariance conducted to examine the significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' self-efficacy in Basic Science in Ondo State. The post-test mean Basic Science self-efficacy scores of the students following exposure to the three levels of instructional strategy demonstrated a significant difference $F(2, 91) = 26.625, p < 0.05$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.369$. (5E-cyclic, Mental model & Teacher expository method). Furthermore, the results demonstrated that instructional technique alone accounted for 36.9% of the variation in students' self-efficacy in Basic Science. As a result, the null hypothesis (H_{01}) was rejected. Therefore, this result concluded that there is significant main effect of 5E-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' self-efficacy in Basic Science in Ondo State.

The results of post-hoc test for the groups is presented in the table 6.

Table 7. Post-Hoc Test of Pairwise Comparisons of Basic Science Self-Efficacy Post-Test Scores of Students on Treatments

(I) Strategy	(J) Strategy	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig. ^b	95% Confidence Interval for Difference ^b	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
5CMIS	MMIS	-1.726	.809	.107	-3.700	.248
	TEM	3.873*	.788	.000	1.951	5.795
MMIS	5CMIS	1.726	.809	.107	-.248	3.700

	TEM	5.599*	.791	.000	3.670	7.528
TEM	5CMIS	-3.873*	.788	.000	-5.795	-1.951
	MMIS	-5.599*	.791	.000	-7.528	-3.670

Based on Estimated Marginal Means. *. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level

Table 7 showed the results of the Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc comparisons conducted to examine where the differences exist among the three groups in this study. It can be observed from the table 4.4c that students exposed to 5CMIS was statistically significant different from those exposed to TEM ($p < 0.05$). Also, there was statistically significant different between the students exposed to MMIS and TEM ($p < 0.05$). Conversely, there was no statistically significant different between students exposed to 5CMIS and MMIS ($p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion of Findings

This study investigated the effects of 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' learning outcomes in Basic Science compared with the conventional expository method. The discussion of the findings was presented according to the two hypotheses, which were tested to give direction to this study.

The findings of hypothesis one showed that there was significant main effect of 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' academic performance in Basic Science. The performance of students in 5E-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies over teacher expository method could be attributed to the fact that 5e-cyclic and mental model encourage students to be active participants in construction of their own knowledge, provides opportunity for students to share ideas and expanding their existing knowledge by building on other peoples' contributions. Further analysis revealed that there was statistically significant difference between the academic performance of students exposed to 5e-cyclic learning strategy and teacher expository method. It also showed that there was statistically significant difference between the academic performance of students exposed to mental model and teacher expository method. However, there was no significant difference between the academic performance of students exposed to 5E-cyclic learning strategy and mental model learning strategy.

The finding is in conformity with Tuna and Kacar (2013), who discovered that students who participated in a learning cycle had superior academic achievement and trigonometry knowledge retention than those in the control group. In addition, the study agreed with Akinwumi and Bello (2015), who asserted that students who are exposed to 5e-cyclic learning strategy scored significantly higher in physics test than those who were not exposed to learning cycle strategy. In the same vain, the findings agreed with Gambari (2010) who stated that the students taught using instructional model performed significantly better than their counterparts taught using the conventional method. Similarly, Majid and Prahani (2017) found that due to the dynamic nature

of atomic structure models, students' learning outcomes (perception and imagination) are likely to alter.

Likewise, the findings of hypothesis two revealed that there was a substantial main effect of 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies on junior secondary school students' self-efficacy in Basic Science in Ondo State when compare with expository method. The significant self-efficacy performance of students' in 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies over expository method it is possible that this is due to the fact that these two instructions gives an opportunity to students to be positive independence, intuitive in reasoning and thinking. Further analysis revealed that there was statistically significant difference between the self-efficacy of students exposed to 5e-cyclic learning strategy and teacher expository method. It also showed that there was statistically significant difference between the self-efficacy of students exposed to mental model and teacher expository method. However, there was no significant difference between the self-efficacy of students exposed to 5E-cyclic learning strategy and mental model learning strategy. This discovery showed more light on earlier discoveries of Tuna and Kacar (2013); Cheema and Mirza (2013); Ado (2014); Njoroge, Changeiywo, and Ndirangu, (2014); and Seven, Tiryaki and Ceylan (2017) who opined that constructivist teaching strategy is best among the teaching strategies that improve students' science learning outcomes.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The study concluded based on the findings of this investigation, that 5e-cyclic and mental models instructional strategies were effective in enhancing students' academic performance and self-efficacy in **basic science** than the expository presentation approach.

Based on the conclusions of this study, the following recommendations were considered relevant:

- Basic Science teachers and educators should adopt 5e-cyclic and mental models strategies as purposeful and efficient instructional strategies and resources in teaching basic concepts so that students could reap the full benefits of active classroom participation.
- Education stakeholders should organize conferences, seminars and workshops for basic science teachers to acquaint them with the use of 5e-cyclic and mental models to improve the process and product of learning science in secondary schools.

References

- Afuwape, M. O. (2012). Integration in science Teaching-Learning: problems and prospects. *An International Journal of Science and Technology*, 1(3) 126-133
- Agboola, O. S., & Olajide, S. O. (2015). Influence of students' feedback on the quality of science teaching among undergraduates in South-West Nigerian Universities. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies*, 6(7), 229-235.

Comment [G13]: Basic Science

Comment [G14]: Please check and use the current APA 7th edition for your references

- Agboola, O. S., & Oloyede, E. O. (2013). Effects of concept mapping and peer tutoring instructional strategies on learning outcomes of students in chemistry. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 3(1), 141-150.
- Aigboimian, D. O. (2002). *Science for all: implication for the Teacher and National Development*. Ambik Press.
- Akinwumi, M. O., & Bello, T. O. (2015). Relative effectiveness of learning-cycle model and inquiry-teaching approaches in improving students' learning outcomes in physics. *Journal of Education and Human Development*, 4(3), 169-180.
- Alebiosu, K. A., Bilesanmi-Awoderu, J. B., & Oludipe, O. S. (2017). Effectiveness of learning cycle models on nigerian senior secondary students' anxiety towards chemistry. *British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science*, 21(1), 1-13.
- Animola, O. V., & Bello, T. O. (2019). Comparative effectiveness of mastery and peer-to-peer learning strategies in improving junior secondary students' learning outcomes in basic science. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*, 3(4), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2019/v3i430092>
- Aslam, S., & Ali, M. S. (2017). Effect of self-efficacy on students' Achievement in science: A case of secondary school students in Pakistan. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 3(11), 220-235. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1050292>
- Bajah, S. T. (1983). *Teaching integrated science creatively*. Ibadan University Press.
- Balci, S., Cakiroglu, J., & Tekkaya, C. (2006). Engagement, exploration, explanation, Extension and evaluation (5E) learning cycle and conceptual change text as learning tools. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*. 34, 199-203.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Bandura, A. (2006). Guide for constructing self-efficacy scales. In F. Pajares & T. Urdan (Eds.), *Self-efficacy beliefs of adolescents* (5, 307-337). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Bello, T. O. (2012). Effects of availability and utilization of physics laboratory equipment on students' academic achievement in senior secondary schools' physics. *World Journal of Education*, 2(5), 1-7.
- Catherine, A. (2017). Investigating the relationship between science self-efficacy beliefs, gender, and academic achievement, among high school students in kenya. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(8), 146-153.
- Eggen, P. & Kauchak, D. (2012). *Strategies and Models for Teachers Teaching Content and Thinking Skills* (6th ed.). Boston Pearson.

- Eren, C. & Omer, G. (2009). Facilitating conceptual change in understanding state of matter and solubility concepts by using 5e learning cycle model. *Hacettepe University Journal of Education*, 36, 41-50.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria (2014). *National policy on education* (7th ed.). NERDC Press.
- Gaumer Erickson, A., & Noonan, P. (2016). *College and career competency (CCC) framework needs assessment*. Lawrence, KS: University of Kansas, Center for Research on Learning. Retrieved from <http://resources.cccframework.org>
- Gaumer Erickson, A. S., Soukup, J. H., Noonan, P. M., & McGurn, L. (2018). Self-efficacy formative questionnaire technical report. Retrieved from <http://www.researchcollaboration.org/uploads/SelfEfficacyQuestionnaireInfo.pdf>
- Ginsburg, H. P. & Pappas, S. (2004). SES, ethnic and gender differences in young children's informal addition and subtraction: A clinical interview investigation. *Applied Developmental Psychology*, 25, 171-192.
- Gonzalez, A., Fernandez, M. V. C. & Paoloni, P. V. (2017). Hope and anxiety in physics class: exploring their motivational antecedents and influence on metacognition and performance. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 54(5), 558-585.
- Holbrook, J. (2011). Enhancing scientific and technological literacy(STL): A major focus for science teaching at school. *Journal of Science Teacher Association of Nigeria*. 46(1), 9-34.
- Ifenthaler, D. (2010). Scope of graphical indices in educational diagnostics. In N. M. Seel, D. Ifenthaler, & P. N. Pirnay-Dummer (Eds.), *Computer-based diagnostics and systematic analysis of knowledge* (213-234). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-5662-0>
- International Council for Science (2002). *Science Education and Capacity Building for Sustainable Development*. Retrieved from <http://www.icu.org/library/WSSD-Rep/Vol15.pdf>
- Jegede S. A. (2010). Students' anxiety towards the learning of chemistry in some Nigerian secondary schools. *Educ. Res. Rev.*, 2(7), 193-197.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. & Byrne, R. M. J. (2002). Conditionals: A theory of meaning, pragmatics and inference. *Psychological review*, 109, 646-678.
- Kupermintz, H. (2002). Affective and conative factors as aptitude resources in high school science achievement. *Educational Assessment*, 8, 123-137.
- Liu, T. C., Peng, H., Wu, W. H. & Lin, M. S. (2009). The effect of mobile natural-science learning based on the 5e learning cycle: A case study. *Educational Technology & Society*, 12(4), 344-358.
- Mallow, J. V. (1978). A science anxiety program. *American Journal of Physics*, 46, 862-870.

- Mallow, J. V. (1986). *Science Anxiety: Fear of Science and How to overcome it* (Revised Eds.). Clearwater: H & H Publications.
- Mallow, J. V. (2006). Science Anxiety: Research and Action. In J. J. Mintzes & W. H. Leonard (Eds.), *Handbook of College Science Teaching* (pp. 3-14). National Science Teacher Association Press.
- Maloney, E. A., Schaeffer, M. W., & Beilock, S. L. (2013). Mathematics anxiety and stereotype threat: Shared mechanisms, negative consequences and promising interventions. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 15(2), 115-128.
- Nwagbo, C. R. (2002). Level of scientific literacy of secondary school science students: implications for sustainable development in Nigeria. *43rd Annual Conference Proceedings of the Science Teachers' Association of Nigeria*, Plc. 73-77. Heinemann Educational Books (Nig).
- Nwaiwu, N. E., & Audu, B. (2005). Influencing factor in female engineering and technical education in Nigeria knowledge review. *A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 10(1), 8-11.
- O'Brien, T. (2013). *5E (2) Guidelines for designing research-informed science lesson sequences*. NSTA press.
- Odetoyinbo, B. B. (2004). Teacher and factors as correlates of achievement in integrated science. *Journal of Science Teacher Association of Nigeria*, 39(1&2), 16-21.
- Okeke, E. A. C. (2001). Women in science/technology and mathematics education in Nigeria. *Keynote Address at the 42nd Annual Conference of STAN*.
- Okigbo, E. C., & Okeke, S. O. C. (2010). Effects of games and analogies on students' interest in mathematics. *Journal of Science Teachers Association of Nigeria*, 46(1), 20-30.
- Oluwatosin, O. B., & Bello T. O. (2015) Comparative effect of mastery learning and mind mapping approaches in improving secondary school students' learning outcomes in physics. *Science Journal of Education*, 4(4), 86-92. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijeeedu.20150404.11>
- Taber, K. S. (2009). Learning from experience and teaching by example: reflecting upon personal learning experience to inform teaching practice. *Journal of Cambridge Student* 4(1):82-91.
- Tuna, A., & Kacar, A. (2013). The effect of 5e learning cycle model in teaching trigonometry on students' academic achievement and the permanence of their knowledge. *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications*, 4 (1), 73-87.
- Van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Kirschner, P. A. (2017). *Ten steps to complex learning: A systematic approach to four-component instructional design*. Routledge.

Zeldin, A. L., & Pajares, F. (2000). Against the odds: Self-efficacy beliefs of women in mathematical, scientific, and technological careers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 37, 215–246.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

