

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_77702
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Gram Negative Bacteria (GNB) Isolated From Home-made and Surgical Nose Mask Used by Local Residents, A Threat to Life and False Sense of Protection against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>A statistical analysis of the differences in resistance to different antibiotics and microorganisms is recommended.</b></p> <p><b>Throughout the text there are several grammatical errors and some words appear glued to others, it is suggested to correct and check that the names of the antibiotics are well written.</b></p> <p><b>Texts in Spanish appear in the figures and the vertical axis is not dimensioned, so the impact of antibiotics cannot be evaluated.</b></p> <p><b>Some references are very old, which makes it difficult to assess how new the article is, although it is important to say that it is an important public health issue.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The difficulty of doing molecular analysis is understood, but this would give the authors certainty that they found microorganisms.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Although the biochemical reactions continue to have some validity, the only way to confirm gender and species, including strain, is through RNA analysis, preferably; However, although this verification does not exist, the article is of epidemiological interest, therefore, with the appropriate corrections, it could be published.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>ErasmO Orrantía Borunda</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, México</i></b>