

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_71680
Title of the Manuscript:	THE NIGERIA'S ROAD NETWORK: THE HORROR-HIGHWAY OF DEATH
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, the authors discuss the conditions of the Nigeria's road network and they express their worries about the inadequate safety level offered by the existing road infrastructures. The main question of the paper is the importance of a safe and well-designed road network and of a good maintenance policy.</p> <p>The introduction is an in-depth analysis of the important role played by the road transportation network in the economic and social development of a country.</p> <p>The study is quite simple and it is mainly a descriptive and critical analysis without a relevant methodology. I have a few points listed below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the abstract you write: <i>"Findings of this research show that Nigeria roads are characterized by potholes, gallops, slippery, bumps, untied roads, bushes, mud, poor bridges, stones and gravels, which are the main causes of road accidents in the country amongst other factors"</i> thus when I read the whole text I expect that these findings are supported by data (correlation analysis, accident data analysis, analysis of the accident causes or other type of information). However, the crashes data you report in Section "CASES OF ROAD ACCIDENTS AND DEATHS IN NIGERIA" are not correlated to road infrastructure features. How do you support the findings you write in the abstract? It seems that the correlation between accident and road elements with poor safety conditions is strictly related to the literature review findings (Section: CONDITION OF NIGERIA'S ROAD).</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors must review the manuscript structure. Is the manuscript classified as "Case Report/Case study"? In this case I suggest to follow the structure defined in the guidelines for authors: Introduction, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion. The sections and subsections should be numbered.</li> <li>2. Why did you choose to report a whole section of the article you quoted? You reported a significant section of a referenced article for three times throughout the manuscript, maybe you should consider replacing these sections with a summary or 2/3 relevant quotes. Please review these sections.</li> <li>3. What does the term "minor" (Table 1) refer? Does it include accidents with only material damage? You should consider clarifying in the text (or in a table note) the meaning of the words "minor" and "serious" because they could not be universally defined in the context of traffic crashes.</li> <li>4. You should consider adding a graph to support accident data analysis: you report a notable amount of data expressed only in a quantitative way, maybe a graph could help you to describe the seriousness of the situation.</li> <li>5. In all honesty, I think that a research paper is not the best place to discuss political matters thus I suggest to avoid explicit political opinion such as phrases on the behaviour of the political leaders; for example, in the conclusion authors write "The number of deaths on the Nigeria highway on a daily bases shows how dilapidating our roads are and effort to maintain those part by the government proves abortive <i>because corruption has</i></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<i>taking hold of our political leaders"; I believe you have to find a better expression for your thoughts!</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I hope my suggestions were helpful. Sincerely	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Giusi Perri</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University Of Calabria, Italy</i></b>