

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_87038
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethno-botanical studies and survey of rare and endangered medicinal plants of Chang-La (17,688 ft) and Taglang-La (17,582 ft) region of Union Territory of Ladakh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is well written and the research work by the authors is highly appreciated as the locations are unique and biodiversity of Himalayan Region is of national importance. However, authors need to incorporate some and information to improve the quality of the manuscript. Therefore, the content of the paper is recommended to publish after the following major revision:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Include map for the geographic location of the study area.2. The methodology section needs to be rewritten in details – mention the climate of the region while survey was carried out, which camera (model name) used for field photograph?; which literature (Published article/online sources) used for plant identification?3. Provide image of collected specimens with herbarium records.4. In table 1, please remove the life form column and add IUCN status of the plants recorded during the study.5. Include a graphical representation of the life form categories of the plants recorded.6. Rest of the corrections and clarifications are asked in the manuscript by comments.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Koushik Sadhukhan
Department, University & Country	National Centre For Castal Research, India