

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_86615
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Mechanized Agriculture In Southern Region Of Ethiopia, Particularly In Central Zones
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Writing is not neat, and the arrangement of problems is not like writing in a journal, but a book writing model (example page 9).	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<a href="https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&amp;rct=j&amp;q=&amp;esrc=s&amp;source=web&amp;cd=&amp;ved=2ahUKEwjT8Jnk26b3AhXt73MBHRqjDuoQFnoECAYQAQ&amp;url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ajol.info%2Findex.php%2Fajas%2Farticle%2Fview%2F142997%2F132741&amp;usg=AOvVaw3VyZQf-Qa2E3h29OyiMmcx">https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&amp;rct=j&amp;q=&amp;esrc=s&amp;source=web&amp;cd=&amp;ved=2ahUKEwjT8Jnk26b3AhXt73MBHRqjDuoQFnoECAYQAQ&amp;url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ajol.info%2Findex.php%2Fajas%2Farticle%2Fview%2F142997%2F132741&amp;usg=AOvVaw3VyZQf-Qa2E3h29OyiMmcx</a> Agricultural Mechanization in Ethiopia - Research note - Africa ...	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The introduction discusses more about activity location data, physically. While the article discusses the advantages of using agricultural machinery.</p> <p>Introduction and method materials, keep more proportions than results and discussion.</p> <p>Writing tables are not neat and in scientific papers there should be no vertical lines</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Although the material is interesting, but the preparation of the article has not integrated the introduction, materials and methods with the results and discussion.</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dwi Setyorini
Department, University & Country	Indonesia