

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_86236
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of mulching and watering frequency on some agronomic performances of cocoa plants (<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.) produced in nurseries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article makes a positive impression, it is written on an actual issue for the region. The article is devoted to the study of mulching and water nutrition in the cultivation of cocoa plants. The graphs (Figure 2-4) do not show all the columns and symbols to the diagram (however, this may be due to different software versions on the computers). Figure 5 is contained in the text separately from the caption, the photo quality is quite low.	
Minor REVISION comments	It may be appropriate to arrange the text and tables in a different way to avoid large spaces between the text and table breaks. Should the sowing depth be indicated in Table 2 if this parameter is the same in all columns of the table? These data can be included in the description of the methodology. The notes to Table 5 are partly written in French	
Optional/General comments	The results of the research are described enough, the conclusions are substantiated. There are some technical errors in the text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khodanitska Olena
Department, University & Country	Vinnitsia Mykhailo Kotsiubynskyi State Pedagogical University, Ukraine