

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_86236
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of mulching and watering frequency on some agronomic performances of cocoa plants (<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.) produced in nurseries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I congratulate the authors for their research, it is interesting and important. This manuscript is mostly well written, except from some points that need to be addressed. The authors should change the style of the Abstract so that it is similar to the style of writing in the paper. the text in the abstract must be aligned with Justify. On page 9, the authors number the Figure, as Figure 2, although there is no Figure 1 before that. Also there is a poor usage of colours in all Figures across all figures, some columns are not visible (are in white). The authors say at subpoint 3.1.3. that "Overall, this length and number decreased with increasing watering frequency." But from the Table 3 it can be seen that the numbers are higher when we water more frequently. I would be curious about the results in Table 2, regarding soil pH. How do the authors explain that in case of variant MWF1/4 the Ph has the highest value? Do we know the water Ph?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apahidean Alexandru
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania