Original Research Article

Value chain analysis of leather manufacturing in Thirupathur district of Tamil Nadu

ABSTRACT:

Value chain analysis for leather includesnumber of value addition activities and various stakeholders. A study was conducted to understand the value chain and market efficiency of leather products was done at Thirupathur district, as it is a major area for leather manufacturing. In Thirupathur district,three taluks were taken for the study with thirty livestock farmers, thirty wholesalers, thirty retailers, and thirty leather processing industries were contacted based on simple random sampling.The purpose of the study had an objective of is mapping and analysing the market efficiency of leather processing in various channels from the study, there were three major channels were found in this study area.Results show that Channel I was the most efficient channel analysed by both Shepherd's and Acharya's market efficiency methodas it is the shortest channel.Channel II and III were less efficient channels.The main constraint faced by the leather processing industries were poor drying facilities, and unavailability of skin, and hides throughout the processing year.

Keywords: Vvalue chain map, price spread, marketing efficiency and constraints.

INTRODUCTION:

Leather value chain starts from rearing of animal husbandry and ends with the manufacturingeof the leather goods. Bovine hides, sheep and goat skins are the major hides used and are processed in tanneries before becoming leather footwear, garments, and accessories like travel bags and belts. Leather is also used for technical products and upholstering.

Leather and its products are unique items, known for their versatility, style, and fashion. In Asian countries, due to the growing affluence of population there are good prospects for sustainable development of the leather industry in the long-run. Comparative advantages like raw material availability and low labour cost, coupled with environmental considerations have contributed to a shift in bringing the processing segment of the leather sector value chains towards the developing countries. In 2020, the major global exporters of leather were Italy, the United Sstates of America, Brazil, China, and Germany. Italy exported around 2.9 billion U.S dollars of leather to the rest of the world and followed by United states with 1.12 billion U.S dollars and also other than some of the EU countries like Italy and Spain, most of the European countries serve as final export destinations.(https//Leatherindia.org).

Comment [m1]: Add reference

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

In addition, key customers as well as consumer categories in the global value chain of leather and leather products are located in the USA, Australia, and Japan. Hong Kong has also emerged as a large global importer of semi-finished and finished leather for value addition and export. This provided an opportunity for India and other developing countries for a better positioning in the global trade

Leather industry~~:~~

In India~~,the~~ leather and leather products are well-known for consistently strong export revenues and ~~is~~ areone of the country's top ten foreign ~~exchange-earners~~exchange-earners. Inthe 2020-21 fiscal year, India exported $3.68 billion worth of leather and leather goods including ~~itincludes~~saddlery andharnesses ~~& harness~~. In 2020, India has~~-20 per~~ 20 percent ~~cent~~ of the cow and buffalo population and 11 percent of the goat and sheep population in the world and it also had a plentiful supply of raw materials. Adding to this the advantages of trained manpower, cutting-edge technology, increased industrial compliance with international environmental requirements, and related industries' unwavering support.

In India, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Agra, Noida, and Saharanpur, Maharashtra, Punjab, Karnataka, Hyderabad, Haryana, Gurgaon, Panchkula, Karnal, Delhi, Madhya Pradesh, Kerala, and Ernakulam were the key manufacturing states for footwear, leather, and leather goods in India~~.~~(www.economictimes.indiatimes.com).

Comment [m2]: Add reference????

Comment [m3]: Not acceptable since it does not lead to the information **used here... Must add the exact URL used to get the information!!!**

Indian leather industry advantages~~:~~

India~~have own~~ has raw material supply consisting of around 3 billion square feet of leather ~~were~~ produced each year. In addition,~~mean while~~ some goat, calf, and sheep skins are in high demand and they also have strong and environmentally friendly tanning foundation and there is an updated manufacturing facilities.

Comment [m4]: Add reference???

Environmental impact~~:~~

The l~~L~~eather industry ~~produces some~~ has an environmental impact ~~those arethe~~ due to carbon footprint of livestock rearing and the~~,~~ use of chemicals in the tanning process(e.g., chromium, phthalate esters, nonyl phenol ethoxylate soaps, pentachlorophenol, and solvents).Air pollution due to the transformation process (hydrogen sulfide is formed during mixing with acids and ammonia liberated during deliming, and solvent vapours).~~And also~~However~~some of the~~ environmental guidelines exist for environmental improvement in leather tanner sectors. These guidelines were provided by theCentral Pollution Control Board

(CPCB), the State Pollution Control Board (SPCBs), and the Pollution Control Committee (PCCs) ~~providing guidance~~ to the industries for the effective prevention and control of pollutionto safeguard the environment.

Comment [m5]: Add reference????

Objective of the study

Leather manufacturing is an important industry in Tamil Nadu mainlythat are ~~leather manufacturing industries were~~ located in Thirupathur, Chennai, Ambur, Ranipet, Vaniyambadi, Vellore, Pernambut, Trichy, Dindigul, and Erode. In leather manufacturing, various value addition activities were involved so it is necessary to understand the value chain of leather industry, so this study was taken up with the following objectives

- To map the value chain of hides and to analyse the marketing efficiency of the intermediates.
- To find out the problems faced by the leather processingwithin the study area.

How is this paper divided??????????????????????

~~Research methodology~~RESEARCH METHODOLOGY~~:~~

To accomplish the above objectives, primary data were ~~as~~collected ~~through~~ using a structured questionnaire.The study area was confined within Tirupathur district of Tamil Nadu which has four taluks and five blocks where the leather and tannery industry was located mainly in Vaniyambadi, Natrampalli, Ambur taluk,and thesethreetaluks were selected for the study. Sample respondents were selected based on a simple random sampling method. About thirtycow, goat, sheep rearing farmers and thirty wholesalers, thirty retailers and thirty leather processing industry were contacted and value chain was tracked.

Comment [m6]: Add a paragraph about the design of the questionnaire!!!!!!

Tools for analysis~~:~~

Garrett ranking was ~~ere~~ the tools used in this study (Zalkuwi *et al.*, 2015). Garett ranking was measured by using the~~is~~ below formula

Percent Pposition = $\frac{100\ X\ (Rij-0.5)}{Nj}$

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Wwhere,

Rij = Ranking given to the i^{th} attribute by the j^{th} individual

Nj = Number of attributes ranked by the j^{th} individual

In this study, Garrett ranking was used to identify the constraints faced by the leather processing industry.

Marketing Efficiency~~:~~

Agarwal Approach

Comment [m7]: Remove the shading from the paragraph?????

According to Acharya (2003), an ideal measure of marketing efficiency, particularly for comparing the efficiency of alternate markets channels should take into account all of the following:

a) Total marketing costs (MC)

b) Net marketing margin (MM)

c) Prices received by the farmer (FP)

d) Prices paid by the consumer (RP)

Further, the measure should reflect the following relationship between each of these variables and the marketing efficiency.

i) Higher the total marketing costs ~~(a)~~, the lower the efficiency

ii) Higher the net marketing margin ~~(b)~~, the lower the efficiency

iii) Higher the prices received by the farmer ~~(c)~~, the higher the efficiency

iv) Higher the prices paid by the consumer ~~(d)~~, the lower the efficiency

As there is an exact relationship among the four variables, *i.e.*, a+b+c = d, any three of these could be used to arrive at a measure for comparing the marketing efficiency (ME).

The following measure is suggested by Acharya (2003),

ME = FP ÷ (MC + MM)

Shepherd's formula~~:~~

Comment [m8]: Remove the shading?????

Marketing efficiency is a measure of market performance. The movement of goods from producers to the ultimate consumers at the lowest possible cost consistent with the provision of service desired by the consumers is termed as efficient marketing.

Efficiency of supply chain was calculated with the help of the following formula. The higher this ratio, higher would be the efficiency and vice versa. This can be expressed in the following form:

ESC = [(V/I)-1]

Where,

ESC=Index of Efficiency of Supply Chain

V = Value of goods sold

I = Total marketing cost

~~Results and discussion~~RESULTS AND DISCUSSION~~:~~

Value chain mapping of hide~~:~~

The value chain mapping of hide had three channels~~where as in~~ with channel I ~~it begin~~beginning ~~sfrom~~ withfarmers (43.5 percent)~~they~~ whosold the cows to the slaughterhouse.~~and~~F~~f~~rom there ~~they sold the~~ meat is sold to the direct consumers and the skinsare sold to the wholesalers. The skins from the slaughterhouse arecollected in bulk and ~~they~~ arepreserved~~the skin~~ by adding salt to ~~it~~ them;and after preservation,~~is~~ the resultant skins aresold directly to the leather industry. In channel II,a livestock dealer ~~wa~~ is involved. Farmers(27.4 percent) sell the cows to the livestock dealer ~~and~~ whothen ~~they~~ sell them to the slaughterhouse and value chain is followed. In the case of channelIII (29.1 percent farmers), where the collector collected the skins from the slaughterhouse and sends them to the supplier ~~and~~ who in their turnsentthem ~~then~~ to the retailers. ~~I~~ in each step, the skins are~~is~~ preserved by addingchemicals and salt.Channel I was followed by 13 respondents,Channel II followed by 8 respondents and finally channel III was followed by 9 respondents.~~m~~ Most of the intermediates follow~~s~~ channel I because is it efficient. R~~ the r~~esults a~~we~~re discussed in Table 1 and depicted in Figure 1 herein.~~ which is shown below~~.

~~Fig 1 Mapping of hide~~



Formatted: Centered

Figure 1. Mapping of hide

Price spread analysis~~:~~

The study of price spread helps to understand the value chain cost and the problems faced. Price spread ~~was used by~~followed Kumaravel's (2005) approach. The three different major channels were traced through mapping of value chain of hide and presented in Table 1.

		Channel I	Channel II	Channel III
S.No	**Particulars**	**Amount (Rupees/skin)**	**Amount (Rupees/skin)**	**Amount (Rupees/skin)**
1	**Producers/Farmers**			
	Gross price received/unit	8(25)	8.2(25)	8.5(25)
	Net price received/unit	**200.00 (33.33)**	**205.00 (32.03)**	**212.5 (34.2)**
2	**Livestock dealer**			
	Purchase price/unit	-	**205.00 (32.03)**	-
	Holding cost	-	0.2 (0.03)	-
	Transport	-	0.4 (0.062)	-
	Margin /skin in Rs	-	**31.9** (4.98)	-
	Sales price	-	**237.5 (37.1)**	-
3	**Slaughter house**			
	Purchase price	200.00 (33.3)	**237.5 (37.1)**	212.5 (34.2)
	Raw hide	200 (33.33)	237.5 (37.1)	212.5 (34.2)
	Labour cost	15 (2.5)	15.2 (2.37)	15 (2.41)
	Transport	2 (0.33)	2.2 (0.34)	2 (0.35)
	Margin @Rs/skin	**8 (1.33)**	**5.1 (0.79)**	**0.5 (0.80)**
	Sales price	**225 (37.5)**	**260.00 (40.6)**	**230.00 (37.00)**
4	**Collector**			
	Purchase price	-	-	**230.00 (37.00)**
	Transport	-	-	1 (0.16)
	Margin @Rs /skin	-	-	1 (0.16)
	Sales price	-	-	**232.00 (37.4)**
5	**Supplier**			

	Purchase price	-	-	**232.00 (37.4)**
	Transport	-	-	1.8 (0.29)
	Loading and unloading	-	-	1.3 (0.20)
	Marketing cost	-	-	**3.1 (0.5)**
	Margin @Rs /skin	-	-	**4.9 (0.79)**
	Sales price	-	-	**240.00 (38.7)**
6	**Wholesaler**			
	Purchase price	**225 (37.5)**	**260.00 (40.6)**	**240.00 (38.7)**
	Loading & unloading	1.5 (0.25)	1.6 (0.25)	1.8 (0.29)
	Transportation	3 (0.5)	3.2 (0.5)	3.5 (0.56)
	Processing cost	36.5 (6.08)	37.3 (5.82)	37.7 (6.08)
	Marketing cost (L+T)	**4.5 (0.75)**	**4.8 (0.75)**	**5.3 (0.85)**
	Margin @Rs/skin	**9 (0.15)**	**2.7 (0.4)**	**6.5 (1.04)**
	Warehousing cost	10 (1.66)	10.2 (1.5)	10.5 (1.69)
	Sales price	**285.00 (47.5)**	**315.00 (49.2)**	**300.00 (48.38)**
7	**Retailer**			
	Purchase price	-	**315.00 (49.2)**	**300.00 (48.38)**
	Transport	-	2 (0.31)	2.4 (0.38)
	Loading and unloading	-	1.5 (0.23)	1.5 (0.23)
	Storage cost	-	3.5 (0.54)	9.2 (1.48)
	Marketing cost(L+T)	-	3.5 (0.54)	**3.9 (0.62)**
	Margin @Rs/skin	-	**13 (2.0)**	**3.9 (0.62)**
	Sales price	-	**335.00 (52.34)**	**317.00 (51.1)**
8	**Leather processing industry**			
	Purchase price	**285.00 (47.5)**	**335.00 (52.34)**	**317.00 (51.1)**
	Labour cost	115.5 (19.2)	116 (18.12)	120 (19.3)
	Sorting, grading	9 (1.5)	9.5 (1.48)	10 (1.61)

	Quality testing	36.5 (6.08)	37.6 (5.87)	37.8 (6.09)
	Reworking of rejection	53.2 (0.88)	53.2 (8.31)	53.5 (8.62)
	Packaging	45 (7.5)	45.1 (7.04)	45.5 (7.33)
	Marketing cost (S+P)	**54** **(9)**	**54.6** **(8.53)**	**55.5** **(8.95)**
	Technology and development	14 (2.33)	14.2 (2.21)	14.5 (2.33)
	Firm and infrastructure cost	19 (3.16)	19 (3.00)	19.3 (3.11)
	Margin @Rs/skin	**22.8** **(3.8)**	**10.4** **(1.62)**	**2.4** **(0.32)**
	Sales price	**600.00** **(100.00)**	**640.00** **(100.00)**	**620.00** **(100.00)**
	Price paid by leather processing industry	**600.00** **(100.00)**	**640.00** **(100.00)**	**620.00** **(100.00)**

Table 1:Price spread for channel I, II, III for hide (per unit)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

From Table 1,~~it was observed in~~ Channel I shows that farmers received a net payment of Rs 200/skin and ~~it~~ thensoldit to the slaughterhouse ~~and they~~who purchasedthe skin for Rs 200 and then ~~it~~ sold to the wholesaler for Rs 225.Transportation, loading, and unloading charges were incurred by the wholesalers. So, the wholesaler played a major role in deciding about the increase of the price from Rs 225 to Rs 285~~and~~ orhigher by ~~st~~(47.5 percent)of the purchase price~~was~~ incurred by the leather industry in the channel I.

In Channel II, farmers received a net payment of Rs 205/skin and then it moved to the livestock dealer. They were playing a major role in fixing price from Rs 205 to Rs 237 before sending to the wholesaler.

~~In~~ Channel III involved farmers, slaughter house, collector, supplier,wholesaler, retailer, and leather processing industry.The s~~S~~laughterhouse fix the price before supplying to collector from Rs 212.5 to Rs 230.According to ~~the table~~Table 1, the price difference was Rs 600/skin, Rs 640/skin, and Rs 620/skin for channel I, channel II, and channel III, respectively.

Marketing efficiency analysis~~:~~

Market performance is measured by marketing efficiency. The movement of goods from farmers to the end processing unit at lowest possible cost with the service provision

preferred by the processing is termed as efficient marketing. The marketing efficiency of different channels was estimated using two methods, namely

i. Shepherd's method
ii. Acharya's approach

According to Shepherd's method, increased product value at lower marketing cost establishes higher efficiency. Where as in Acharya's approach higher efficiency is established when only the price received by farmers is higher ~~when~~ ifcompared with total marketing cost and total marketing margin. Hence, it would be an ideal way to calculate marketing efficiency

More than one method was used to check the accuracy of efficiency. Manivenkatesh (2017) in his study on the value chain analysis used ~~this~~ asimilar method. The result is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Marketing efficiency -Shepherd's and Acharya's approach for hide

Sl. No	Particulars	Channel 1	Channel 2	Channel 3
I	Value of goods sold(inRupees)	600.00	640.00	620.00
II~~i~~	Total marketing cost	123.7	132.6	143.5
A	Shepherd's marketing efficiency [(i/ii)-1]	3.85	3.82	3.32
III~~ii~~	Total marketing cost	123.7	132.6	143.5
IV~~v~~	Net marketing cost	39.8	63.1	19.2
V	Net price received by farmers	200	205	212.5
B	Acharya's marketing efficiency [v/(iii +iv)]	1.22	1.04	1.03

From T~~t~~able 2 it could be inferred that channel I i.e.,Farmers-Slaughter house -Wholesaler-Leather processing industry was the most efficient channel as it had the highest marketing efficiency when compared to other channels. It is evident from the value chain that there was the processing of leather in the Thirupattur district and all hide ~~were~~ waswas processed ~~to~~ intoleather and leather products. After an intense search, it became clear that there was processing at all levels when it comes to leather processing, indicating that this value chain opportunity remains tapped. It shows that there was a huge opportunity lurking behind leather processing.

Problems faced by the leather processing industries~~:~~

The various problems faced by the stakeholders were analysed and presented in this section.

Constraints faced by the sample respondents towards leather processing~~:~~

The constraints faced by the sample respondents in leather processing were analysed using Garrett's ranking technique and are presenteds in Table 3 andit gave a clear picture about the problems faced by the industry.

Table 3: Constraints faced by the leather processing industry

S. No	Constraints	Total score	Mean score	Rank
1	Poor drying facility during rainy days	2023	20.23	*I*
2	Non availability of skin and hide round the year for processing	1706	17.06	II
3	Scarcity of labour	1515	15.15	III
4	Non availability of storage facility for skin and hide	1441	14.41	IV
5	High electricity cost	1175	11.75	V

Note: n=30

Poor drying facility during rainy days was the major constraint faced by the industry and is ranked first. t. Indus The industry felt that at non availabil at nonavailability of skin and hide round the year for processing was another constraint followed by the scarcity of labour. These are some of theconstraints faced by the industry like lack of storage facility and high electricity costs.

Conclusion

The value chain of hide had three different channels and channel I was the most efficient one withthe marginal profit gained by the leather industrywas Rs 22.8 (3.8 per centpercent).Channel I had a marketing efficiency score of3.85 and 1.22 from Shepherd's and Acharya's methods respectively.Skilled labour, procurement of high-quality leather, well equippe well-equipped machineries, and by adhering to the environmentalguidelines were necessary for the successful running operationand also resulting in quality leather. Poor drying facility especially the duringthe rainy season was the major problem foundfacedby the leather industries in the study area. The policymakers can take efforts to adopt advanced technologies and infrastructure facilities toaddress the issues faced by the leather manufacturers.

References Needsa serious review of the write up of references for consistency and to match the Journal's requirements

1. Council for leather exports (CLE)??????????????

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.13", Hanging: 0.31"

2. Federico, Brugnoli (November 2012). *"Life Cycle Assessment, Carbon Footprint in Leather Processing"*. *United Nations Industrial Development Organization*. *UNIDO*
3. Gillan, Kevin; Murray, Jason (May 2019*). Comprehensive Guide to Leather Repair and Restoration: Leather Repair Training Manual*. *Advanced Leather Solutions*, Inc. P. 16. *Retrieved 10 June 2021*.?????
4. https//Leatherindia.org?????
5. Jasdanwalla, Z.1966. "Marketing efficiency in Indian agriculture". ~~*"Marketing efficiency in in Indian agriculture"*~~ *????????????*
6. ^ Jump up to:[a] [b] *"Leather"*. *Encyclopedia Britannica*. *5 March 2020*. Retrieved *10 June* 2021.*????*
7. ^ *Kwasny, Melissa (17 April 2019). Putting on the Dog: The Animal Origins of What We Wear*. *The University Press*. *ISBN 9781595348654*. Retrieved *10 June* 2021????
8. *"Leather: Animals Abused and Killed for Their Skins"*. *PETA*. *15 December 2003*. Retrieved *10 June* 2021.*??????????? Website??*
9. *Regan, Tom (2004). Empty Cages: Facing the Challenge of Animal Rights*. *Rowman & Littlefield*. *P. 120*. *ISBN 9780742549937*.
10. Scribd https;//www.scribd.com/doc/47487605/Henry Garret Ranking Technique
11. www.economictimes.indiatimes.com??????????????