

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90312
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood coping strategies of farmers in the high lands and lowlands of Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Authors presented work on an interesting subject of climate change coping strategies in a flood prone area which is important in planning and decision making.</p> <p>I offer my comments below:</p> <p>Abstract The abstract could be more specific pointing out the results and conclusions of the current study e.g., what were the coping strategies identified? In its current form, the results are too generalised.</p> <p>Methods The location and description of the study sites are missing. In the proportionate sampling, authors came up with 520 farmers. What was the proportion distribution in terms of region, sex, age, or such other variables? This could be important in making conclusions on the ANOVA results presented in table 3. There are results of ANOVA, but the statistical analysis procedure used is missing: e.g., what was the dependent and independent variables?</p> <p>Results The objective suggests that the study will conclude coping strategies for highlands and lowlands. However, the results tables have not broken down the results by lowland vs highland. That comparison could be interesting.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Check punctuation and grammatical errors in some sentences</p> <p>Mean, SD and SE values need to be rounded off to a meaningful number e.g., 2 decimal places.</p> <p>Arrange references in alphabetical order</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Makumbe
Department, University & Country	Nelson Mandela University, South Africa