

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90219
Title of the Manuscript:	Organic and Conventional Cultivation and Marketing of Vegetables in the Nilgiris District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The title of the article may be revised as, " A study on the cultivation and marketing of organic and conventional vegetables in Nilgiris District, Tamilnadu.</li><li>2. The paper has been carried out based on the study of just two months ( April-May 2022) which shows that in-depth studies has not been carried, for better understanding the author can just use the year 2021-22.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Below each table the author should mention the source (i.e.. field survey 2021-2022 )</li><li>2. The price spread has not been explained in detail</li><li>3. The author should explain which channel is more efficient and the reasons behind it.</li><li>4. The author has not mention the methods of ranking the constrained faced by the cultivators. ( For each table the author has not given the source)</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author has correctly used the methodology however the author has not explained the marketing efficiency portion. The article can be approved with minor corrections	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praveen Dukpa
Department, University & Country	ST Joseph University, India