

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90099
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSUMPTION PATTERN AND INCOME ELASTICITY OF DEMAND OF MAJOR FOOD ITEMS AMONG FARM HOUSEHOLDS IN ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors studied the food consumption pattern and income elasticity of demand of farm households in Andhra Pradesh, India.</p> <p>Whiles, the micro-level studies on food demand have important implications for local food policy, there is no strong justification for the study.</p> <p>Thus, what is interesting about food demand in the study area that readership should know or what do we not know food demand that the Andhra Pradesh case provide? The research method also has major weaknesses which leads to biased parameter estimates. There is selectivity bias, and the LA/QA AIDS model has strong estimation weaknesses as it assumes either a linear or quadratic Engel's curves. Ignoring the model's major weaknesses, the authors fail to acknowledge the unit of analysis in such food demand estimates, such as per capita or total or average per household units.</p> <p>The tables therefore have no comparative meaning and hence, are less useful.</p> <p>The income elasticity estimates of each of the major foods the author studied is more than unity, implying that food in the study area is a luxury good, and which defies theory (Engel's law) and other empirical studies.</p> <p>The study discussion, conclusions and referencing in the entire paper are poor.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Frimpong
Department, University & Country	University of Missouri, USA