

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89648
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED BY AGRICULTURE GRADUATES IN ADOPTING FARMING AS A PROFESSION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Revise the abstract, introduction, bring out the statement of the problem, you must provide citations in the introduction section and literature review. How will you measure objective 3? Research questions or research hypotheses are missing from the document. Where is the population of the study before you select sample size? How did you obtain the sample size of 120? How did you analyse your data? The results should be given as per the objectives. Use APA 7 th edition guidelines for all tables and figures. Suggestions cannot come before conclusions. Conclusions must be made with respect to the objectives. The conclusions must be tied to the objectives. Use APA 7 th edition guidelines for all references. The references written here are missing from the document. Where did you get these references? You must include pagination in your document. The whole reference list must follow APA 7 th edition guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments	Justify the choice of the design used. Specific minor comments are indicated in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Oyier Ogweno
Department, University & Country	Rongo University, Kenya