

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89583
Title of the Manuscript:	A Path Analytic Study of Profile Characteristics on Attitude and Career Preferences of Polytechnic students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. References are taken from the authors but they are not mentioned in quotations. It would be better to follow MLA Handbook 8 or 9 for references.2. Minimum 8 to 10 references are needed and all references should be cited in the text.3. More explanation is needed.4. In Literature Cited, arrange the authors' names as second name first and first name second like Yadav Amita instead of Amita Yadav.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Many grammatical errors are noticed. It would be better to proofread the paper several times.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The paper is very impressive. Survey done for this paper is appreciable.2. Findings are appropriate. In many families, mothers also do some menial or small scale employees. It would be better to mention both mother and father's income not parent's income.3. It would be more palpable if any solution is provided.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>As far as I have observed, it's general finding, no unethical issues are mentioned.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Satya Phani Kumari
Department, University & Country	Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology and Sciences, India