

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89427
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION BEHAVIOUR OF FARMERS TOWARDS IMPROVED CULTIVATION PRACTICES OF SOYBEAN CULTIVATION IN DIMAPUR DISTRICT OF NAGALAND, INDIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I recommend major corrections for this paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The abstract should be restructured to a maximum of 200 words only.</li><li>2) The paper doesn't follow the structure of the paper. Its looks like a dissertation.</li><li>3) In the introduction part author mentioned Wikipedia as a reference, It won't accept a paper. The author should refer to the original paper instead of going to Wikipedia. The introduction should be restructured and should highlight the research problem.</li><li>4) In <i>material and method</i>, the author doesn't discuss the method they used in this paper.</li><li>5) In the discussion part end author should highlight the summary of the study.</li><li>6) In conclusion author should briefly highlight the research problem and your study result and how it will add to the current literature.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Baiju P
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology, India