

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89237
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization pattern of e-resources, problems and suggestions by the post-graduate students of RVSKVV, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author has taken an appropriate research topic as this is the demand of the day. He has also discussed the relevance of the study with a proper description of the issues on problems encountered by the Post-Graduate students of RVSKVV, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh), and analyzed the data. The author has also discussed the suggestions appropriately. The author should have discussed categorically under specific heading like Statement of the problem after introduction, Scope and Limitations including the period of the study, Objectives of the study, Research Methodology(Methods used to collect the data, Sampling design), Review of Literature showing the Research Gap at the end, Data analysis and Findings However, he has discussed the methodology and Results & Discussions. The source should have been mentioned at the end of each Table. Table-1 should have been placed in its proper place. Other issues have been pointed out in the body of the paper to which he may consider complying. However, the decision of the editor is final.	
Minor REVISION comments	The paper needs minor revision as pointed out in the comment box.	
Optional/General comments	The author may take care of avoiding grammatical mistakes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R N Mishra
Department, University & Country	Mizoram University, India