

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89237
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization pattern of e-resources, problems and suggestions by the post-graduate students of RVSKVV, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh)
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Article well written. However, there are few observations which I would humbly suggest: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Research objectives and questions are not clearly written. This is to ensure that readers of this article are able to easily know the direction of the study.</li><li>2. More studies could be added to the literature review to show the gap that the present study is filling in the literature.</li><li>3. Also, in order to justify the utilization of e-resources by postgraduates, theories that backup this claim can be adopted further one of the theories include Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). This theory present various element that justifies the reason why people adopt and use technology. Such indicators are, performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, and facilitating conditions.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Author could possibly separate the background or introduction from the literature review for the purpose of clarity.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcus Aragbaye
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria