

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89117
Title of the Manuscript:	Extent of Adoption behavior and Constraints of farmers towards improved Sugarcane cultivation practices in Dimapur district of Nagaland
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Do not put in the keywords, words that already appear in the title. Too many unreferenced phrases. I suggest placing a larger number of authors. Put results and discussion separately. In this way, it is possible to bring a further discussion of the subject with more bibliographic references. Better format the tables. Is this value the number of people? TO SPECIFY.	
Minor REVISION comments	Extensive paragraph to define the importance of agriculture for the country. Redo and make it clearer and more succinct In this paragraph, it is again very repetitive... repeating the phrases to talk about the same subject, the sugarcane crop.	
Optional/General comments	I suggest putting the objectives right after the introduction. I also suggest that at the end of the introduction bring the research hypothesis, implications, etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marina Pedroso Carneiro
Department, University & Country	University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Brazil