

# Original Research Article

## Assessment Farmers' Adoption Level of Climate Change Adaptation Practices in the Southern Parts of Tamil Nadu

### ABSTRACT

Climate change is one of the most severe challenges to Indian agriculture. Climate variability in the form of temperature and precipitation may impact on agriculture and agricultural production and productivity. The study was conducted in the wetland, dryland, and garden land farming systems of the Tamil Nadu districts of Madurai and Sivagangai with a sample size of 120. Descriptive statistics were used in this study. For compare all three systems; a simple percentage analysis was performed. The majority of respondents in the wetland (65.00%), dryland (70.00%), and garden land (75.00%) farming reported a medium level of climate change adaptations. Cropping system diversification includes mixed cropping (65.00%), intercropping (36.66%), perennial and tree crop agriculture (30.00%), and fallow cropping (25.8%). Summer ploughing (36.67%) and micro-irrigation systems (34.17%) were among the water conservation strategies used by nearly one-third of those polled. The majority of respondents (85.00%) were rearing ~~cattle~~ ~~cattle such as cows, sheep, and goats,~~ followed by working as a ~~labourer~~ ~~or~~ worker (off-farm activities) (56.67%) in the local industry is the second most common income diversification activity done by farmers in the research area. Government and agencies must play an important role in improving farmers' adaptive capacity by disseminating agrometeorological data and tools, conducting vulnerability assessments, and providing policy advice to strengthen institutional approaches to disaster risk reduction so that farmers can respond to the immediate risks of climate change and make the best use of climate variability.

Comment [G1]: Add some key points about climate change

Comment [G2]: 120 what?

**Keywords:** Adoption level, Adaptation practices, Climate change, Crop diversification

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Adapting to climate change necessitates that farmers first recognize that the climate has changed and then find and apply valuable modifications. The process of adaptation is divided into two parts. The first step is for the farmer to understand what climate change is. And what are the hazards associated with it? In the second step, the farmer reacts to the perceived changes to mitigate their adverse effects. Farmers were reported to be taking passive measures to adapt to climate change (Tripathi and Mishra, 2017). India is suffering terrible climatic conditions, which significantly influence people's livelihoods. Because of its enormous agricultural sector, large population, rich biodiversity, long coastline, and high poverty levels, it is one of the most susceptible countries (Chaturvedi 2015). According to Bahinipati and Patnaik (2022), Indian farmers are implementing various farm-level adaptation strategies to mitigate the potential impacts of climate change and extreme events. Farmers preferred to adopt improved varieties and short duration crops, substitute cash crops for cereals, drought-tolerant crops, dug tube wells to supplement water supply, improve short variety duration crops, reduce high water requiring rice cultivation, adopt mixed cropping, shift to mono-cropping of soybeans, increase sugar cane or other high-value cultivation (canal irrigation), delayed cultivation to conserve rainwater, and income diversification (ICRISAT, 2012). Even though various policy initiatives are in place to promote farm-level adaptation strategies, states have a low implementation rate (Kharumnuid et al., 2018). The majority of them adapt by growing heat-tolerant crops for short periods of time, advocated building small check dams, increasing unpredictability for monsoon rains, preserving existing farm ponds, and modifying farm operations and crop calendar. Farmers were unanimous in their desire to promote diverse livelihood options to safeguard their livelihoods in climate change-related hazards such as crop damage, pests, and insect assault. It was advised that other adaptation options, such as agricultural weather insurance and early warning systems, and drought/salt-tolerant seeds, be more widely used to meet their adaptation needs (Dhanya and Ramachandran, 2015). As a result, finding factors that influence farmers' adaptive behaviour is the primary study question for various studies. Accordingly, this study was conducted in southern part of Tamil Nadu. With what Objective/ies??

Comment [G3]: Please state the specific objective





	conservation structures	12	30	7	17.50	15	37.50	34	28.33	1.652 <sup>NS</sup>
--	-------------------------	----	----	---	-------	----	-------	----	-------	---------------------

(Multiple response \*)

If we compare the water conservation measures adopted due to climate change, the formation of water storage structures like farm ponds and moisture conservation techniques was very low among the respondents of the three categories. It was found that the negligible number of dryland farmers adopted the practice of erecting farm ponds/water conservation structures, despite many government programs being in garden land and wetland micro-irrigation was adopted by the farmers due to the government support and their learning from other farmers who have adopted micro-irrigation and harvested the benefits. The 'F' value of the water conservation measures was not significant, which indicates that there existed no significant difference in the adoption of moisture and water conservation measures among the farmers of wet, dry, and garden land systems.

### 3.4 Diversification of cropping system

Climatic change causes severe loss in yield and income in farming, particularly the cultivation of a single crop is becoming riskier because of its failure. Diversification in the cropping pattern may ensure the farmers get additional income and adjust themselves to agriculture. The adoption pattern of crop diversification in the study area is presented in Table 3.

**Table 3. Distribution of respondents based on their adoption of crop diversification due to climate change (n=120)**

S.No.	Adaptation measures	Wetland		Dryland		Garden land		Total		F value
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
1.	Intercropping	4	10	15	37.50	29	72.50	44	36.66	23.96**
2.	Mixed cropping	25	62.50	26	45.00	27	67.50	78	65.00	2.388 <sup>NS</sup>
3.	Fallow cropping	22	55.00	6	15.00	3	7.50	31	25.83	2.965*
4.	Tree and perennial crops/ Agro-forestry	10	25.00	0	0	26	65.00	36	30.00	26.528*

(Multiple response \*)

It could be understood from Table-3, that out of 120 respondents, 78 farmers followed mixed cropping (65.00%). At the same time, 44 of them adopted intercropping (36.66%) followed by the cultivation of perennial and tree crops (30.00%) and fallow cropping (25.83%).

In wetlands, more than half of the respondents had adopted a mixed cropping system (62.50%) and fallow cropping (55.00%), and one-fourth of them were cultivating tree crops (25.00%). Paddy and banana were cultivated in the major area as mono-crops in the wetland system. Only a few respondents were growing vegetables as intercrop in the coconut fields. During the fallow season, sesame is cultivated in the wetlands. In drylands, nearly half of the respondents had adopted the mixed cropping system (45.00%). More than one-third of them adopted intercropping (37.50%), and only 15.00 percent of the respondents followed fallow cropping. Black and green grams were grown in mixed cropping while red grams were grown as an intercrop in cotton cultivation. In garden land

conditions, most farmers practiced intercropping (72.50%) and mixed cropping (67.50%) systems. Groundnut was grown as intercrop in mango orchards. Vegetables like chili, tomato, and onion are grown in the mixed cropping system in the garden land. More than half of the farmers grew mango and coconut trees as perennial crops to overcome the climatic problems.

The 'F' value was significant for intercropping (23.96), tree or perennial crop cultivation (26.528), and fallow cropping (2.965), which indicated the existence of substantial differences among the three systems for these practices. It could be concluded that farmers in garden land and dryland had diversification in the cropping system. In contrast, wetland farmers were following the only mono-cropping pattern, and it may be due to the crops in the wetlands are not suitable for intercropping and mixed cropping.

### 3.5 Income diversification

The economic condition of the farmers used to be affected or slowed down due to the repeated crop failure due to the weather fluctuations. In this situation, the diversification of farm enterprises could act as a source of income to retain their economic status. So the details about income diversification were studied among the respondents of three types of farming systems, and the data is presented in Table 4.

**Table 4. Distribution of respondents based on their income diversification due to climate change (n=120)**

S.No.	Adaptation measures	Wetland		Dryland		Garden land		Total		F value
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
1.	Cattle rearing	30	75.00	26	65.00	28	70.00	102	85.00	0.876 <sup>NS</sup>
2.	Poultry rearing	7	17.50	3	7.50	5	12.50	15	12.50	0.596 NS
3.	Farm labours	15	37.50	10	25.00	20	50.00	45	37.50	1.560 NS
4.	Off-farm activities	25	52.50	35	62.50	30	55.00	68	56.67	1.765 NS

(Multiple response \*)

It could be understood from Table 4 that when we took all the respondents as a whole, the majority of the respondents were rearing the cattle (85.00%) like cows, sheep, and goats, followed by working as a labour or workers (off-farm activities) (56.67%) in nearby industries as income diversification activities. More than one-third of the respondents worked as farm labour (37.50%), and only 12.50 percent of the respondents were involved in poultry rearing activities. In wetlands, the majority of the respondents were rearing cattle (75.00%), followed by those involved in off-farm (52.50%) and working as farm labourers (37.50%). Meager percent of the respondents were involved in poultry rearing (17.50%) in their households. The similar pattern of income diversification which was observed in the wetland, was observed in dry and garden lands. Cattle rearing was a primary source of income in both the farming systems, with 65.00 percent and 70.00 percent of the respondents in dry and garden land, respectively, taking the cattle rearing. Off-farm activities followed this, with 62.50 percent of respondents in dryland and 55.00 percent of the respondents in the wetlands choosing this as the income diversification option.

The 'F' value of income diversification activities was non-significant, which is clear that there is no significant difference observed among the respondents of wetland, garden, and dryland with respect

to income diversification activities. From the above results, it is inferred that cattle rearing was one of the vital income diversification activities, and it was followed by the majority of the farmers irrespective of the farming system. Some of the farmers had moved from farming to other industry works due to the difficulties faced in farming, which is reported to be yet another essential income-earning activity. Apart from these two, some of the farmers were working as a labour in other agricultural fields.

### 3.6 Overall adoption of adaptation measures to the climate change

The adoption of different adaptation measures followed by the respondents was summarized, and the data was classified and given in Table 5.

**Table-5. Distribution of respondents based on their overall adoption of adaptation measures to climate change (n=120)**

S.No.	Category	Wetland		Dryland		Garden land		Total	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1.	Low	9	22.50	4	10.00	2	5.00	15	12.50
2.	Medium	26	65.00	28	70.00	30	75.00	84	70.00
3.	High	5	12.50	8	20.00	8	20.00	21	17.50
	<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>F value : 3.945*</b>									

From Table 5 that if all the respondents were pooled, nearly one-third of them had a medium (70.00%) level of adoption followed by high (17.50%) and low (12.50%) level of adoption. The majority of the respondents in the wetland system had followed adaptation measures in medium level (65.00%). It was followed by the respondents' low (22.50%) and high (12.50%) level categories in adopting climate change adaptation measures under wetland conditions. In dryland and garden land farming, most of the respondents (70.00% and 75.00%) had adopted the climate change adaptations at the medium level, and the high-level adoption category was found to be equal to 20.00-percent% of the respondents. A comparison of the adoption level of all the three land systems revealed that a high level of adoption was equally more in dry and garden land (20.00%) than wetland (12.50%) respondents. In the low-level category, wetland respondents (22.50%) were higher in number, whereas in the medium level of adoption, it was almost equal in all the three farming systems. The 'F' value (3.945) of the adoption of climate change adaptation measures was significant at five percent level, which indicates that there is existed a significant difference in the respondents of the three types of land systems. This difference in the adoption is due to the profile of farmers in the particular farming system and its ecological conditions.

## 4. CONCLUSION

Adaptation techniques have become essential for mitigating the effects of climate change and preparing the nation for climate change. According to the conclusions of this research, farmers have been actively or passively responding to the effects of climate change. Farmers employ a variety number of tactics, techniques, the most important of which are the utilization of diverse crop varieties, mixed cropping, irrigation, and soil conservation practices. Most farmers are unaware of the scientific steps to be taken in response to climate change, and awareness programmes and training must be conducted to improve farmers' adaptable capacity for improved adaptation opportunities. To encourage eco-friendly farming techniques, the government must give subsidies, loans, and insurance. There is a need to make climatic stress-tolerant cultivars available to farmers in specific

locations at a moderate cost. Extension professionals can assist in forming SHGs, FIGs, and Farmers Field Schools, which will allow farmers to meet jointly and devise new adaptation measures. In contrast, SHGs will enable them to improve their financial situation (Anjali et al., 2019).

**Comment [G6]:** No need of abbreviations and references in conclusion

!

## REFERENCE

1. Anjali Chunera, Debashis Dash and Amar Deep. Farm level adaptation strategies to climate change in India: An overview. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019; 8(4): 1685-1690.
2. Bahinipati CS, Patnaik U. What Motivates Farm-Level Adaptation in India? A Systematic Review. In: Haque, A.K.E., Mukhopadhyay, P., Nepal, M., Shammin, M.R. (eds) *Climate Change and Community Resilience*. Springer, Singapore.2022. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0680-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0680-9_4)
3. Chaturvedi V. The costs of climate change impacts for India: A preliminary analysis. Working paper. Council on Energy, Environment and Water, New Delhi, India, 2015.
4. Dhanya P, Ramachandran A. Farmers' perceptions of climate change and the proposed agriculture adaptation strategies in a semi-arid region of south India. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*. 2015; 13(1):1-18.
5. FAO. People-centred climate change adaptation: Integrating gender issues, policy brief, Rome, Italy. 2007:1-2.
6. ICRISAT. Vulnerability to climate change: Adaptation strategies and layers of resilience. Regional technical assistance: 6439 and 6420 report, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India. 2012. Retrieved from <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/project-document/76210/40691-012-reg-tacr.pdf> (Accessed on 15th May, 2022).
7. Kharumnuid P, Rao I, Sudharani V., et al. Farm level adaptation practices of potato growing farmers in East Khasi Hills district of Meghalaya India. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 2018; 39(5), 575–580.
8. Tripathi A, Mishra AK. Knowledge and passive adaptation to climate change: An example from Indian farmers. *Climate Risk Management*. 2017; 16: 195-207.

**Comment [G7]:** Make sure that the references in the text should be exists in the references - Please follow the guide line and instructions of the journal