

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87961
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Zinc Fortification on Growth, Yield and Economics of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Under Irrigated Condition
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Author indicating a statement i.e: The increase in plant height and crop dry matter was due to more availability and absorption of Zn from soil solution so If possible, please mentioned data regarding zn uptake by the plant from soil which is affecting plant growth.</p> <p>2. In conclusion author is indicating : It may be concluded that the treatment (T₅) 5 kg zinc/ha+2 two foliar spray @5% of ZnSO₄ at tillering and before flowering was found to be the best treatment in terms of growth, yield quality parameters of wheat Please verify T1 was selected as control in this study? In manuscript and table you have to change indication of control plot as T0 (CONTROL) instead of T1. Further you can indicate treatment as T1, T2, T3, T4 So everyone can understand easily.</p> <p>3. If possible please mention the type of irrigation system in material method. Because zn uptake can varies as per irrigation system.</p> <p>4. For calculating production economics you have to mention variable and fixed cost separately.</p> <p>5. please mentioned variety of wheat, and verify your yield data by maximum potential yield as suggested by manufacturer or any other reference, because yield is very high as 6.6 t/ha.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Please due care of grammatical errors throughout the manuscript. Check your whole manuscript line by line in this regard.	
Optional/General comments	Please cross check references in literature and citation part in manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikas Sharma
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India