

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87405
Title of the Manuscript:	Identified Constraints and Suggestions given by Beneficiary Respondents for Effective Implementation of Diploma in Agricultural Extension Services for Input Dealers (DAESI) Programme in Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>No</b> <b>Check grammar for the whole article</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Suggestions from the respondents could be further discussed by the author to make the DAESI programme attractive for input dealers. For example, to what extent is "Diploma fee should be completely exempted" necessary and possible?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Constraints felt by the respondents and suggestions to overcome the constraints are clearly presented. It is a short and good article.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qijie Gao
Department, University & Country	China Agricultural University, China