

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87352
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of profit and land resources for marginal/small farmers - A linear programming approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is clear, well written and very interesting. To be complete, and to understand the attractiveness of the method on a large scale, it is necessary to include a cost analysis of the L.P. application.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>the part "In this paper, the study was undertaken in four different villages of Karnal zone, Haryana. The data were collected from marginal and small farmers for both seasons i.e., Kharif and Rabi. The crops sown by the farmers in kharif season were rice and jowar where as in rabi season, wheat, mustard and barseem crops were taken. Data regarding total land holding, season wise land allocated to crops, man days, operating capital and net return of the farmer enterprise was collected through a pre- structured schedule. The LP problem was solved using MS Excel 2007, a computer application software package.</p> <p>"</p> <p>must be moved to Materials and methods The Materials and methods part need to be improved (for example with the description on the schedule)</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marco Ferretti
Department, University & Country	Italy