

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87169
Title of the Manuscript:	Redefining the Roles of Extension Scientists in KVKs: Innovative Experiments in KVK, Thiruvarur, Tamil Nadu during COVID Pandemic
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Citation sources may be avoided in the abstract as it must focus on scholars' work not on others study/research. Therefore, revision is recommended to make it self-contented and present the findings of the study. The conclusion part should give the intent, importance, implications of the study and some possible suggestions of future research rather than give the importance. The grammar should be checked thoroughly The reference and citation may be given for diagrams used if developed by others.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hardev Choudhary
Department, University & Country	National Innovation Foundation-India, India