

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87169
Title of the Manuscript:	Redefining the Roles of Extension Scientists in KVKs: Innovative Experiments in KVK, Thiruvarur, Tamil Nadu during COVID Pandemic
Type of the Article	Commentary

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>In the title</b> you have to put the full name of KVK without using only the abbreviation to make it easier for the reader to understand them.</li><li>- <b>The abstract</b> does not refer well to the manuscript, you can explain it to the introduction section. I advise you not to use bibliographic references in the abstract, just put inside the title of the work, the period, data used, methodology used in this work and the results achieved. all in 250 words with a maximum.</li><li>- Keywords: are not put in the manuscript? put them please.</li><li>- In <b>the Introduction</b> the author has not used any bibliographical reference? Please verify that.</li><li>- The <b>general structure</b> of this manuscript totally absent, you have to follow the following structure: Introduction, Material and methods of work (Description of the area of work, data, methodology), results and discussions and at the end the conclusion.</li><li>- Verify and order sections I to XII, which are the methodology and the results and discussions</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- All minor comments are placed in the text of the manuscript</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- No comments</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aziz Benhamrouche
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif, Algeria