

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87102
Title of the Manuscript:	WOMEN AGRIPRENEURS AND COVID 19: A STUDY ON UNVEILING THE CONSTRAINTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Deadline: 04 MAY 2022

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study analyses some aspects of the humanitarian crisis by the difficulties of the women in India during the COVID 19 pandemic. The study emphasized that the most women's businesses are younger and smaller, which has also disproportionately created a strong impact on women businesses. Also, the study analyses the socio-economic conditions of women in fields of higher education, technical education and professional education, and their share of the workforce has risen as well. <i>The objectives of the study are very actual and well summarized.</i></p> <p><i>The literature of the study well worked and wide -side. The methodology also is well worked out</i> therefore, this can help the authors to implement their researches accompanying correct results of their scientific works.</p> <p>The approach for the <i>analysing performance of women is very side</i> concerning the challenges faced by women agripreneurs during COVID 19. Also, the study overviews based on the discussions highlight the demographic characteristics of the respondents and the various problems and constraints faced by women.</p> <p><i>The statistical data base coming from many responders are wide side</i> accompanying with the <i>SPSS statistical analysing methods.</i></p> <p>The <i>Principal Component Analysis for Constraints Faced by Women Agripreneurs</i> are very representative and make the results of the study be well overviewed.</p> <p>The <i>Extraction Method of Principal Component Analysis and the Rotation Method of Varimax with Kaiser Normalization</i> were used to factor the 14 variables, also could make possibility to reach correct findings of the study.</p> <p><i>I agree the final conclusions of the authors</i>, namely that "women have taken up entrepreneurship to augment their family income in amongst difficult times, enhance their social standing, eliminate unemployment and obtain social prestige" – as final essence of the study.</p> <p><i>I accept the study to be published.</i></p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The <i>Extraction Method of Principal Component Analysis and the Rotation Method of Varimax with Kaiser Normalization</i> were used to factor the 14 variables, also could make possibility to reach correct findings of the study.</p> <p><i>I agree the final conclusions of the authors</i>, namely that "women have taken up entrepreneurship to augment their family income in amongst difficult times, enhance their social standing, eliminate unemployment and obtain social prestige" – as final essence of the study.</p> <p><i>I accept the study to be published.</i></p>	
Optional/General comments	<p><i>I agree the final conclusions of the authors</i>, namely that "women have taken up entrepreneurship to augment their family income in amongst difficult times, enhance their social standing, eliminate unemployment and obtain social prestige" – as final essence of the study.</p> <p><i>I accept the study to be published.</i></p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zsarnóczai, J. Sándor
Department, University & Country	Institute of Environmental Engineering, Óbuda University, Hungary