

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87029
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Appraisal of the Farming Scenario in Riverine Areas of Lower Assam, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic is interesting and important. But there is a significant lack of global perspective or the global level riverine farming/livelihood/issues associated with. This is further highlighted from the list of reference. The author/s has/have focused only on the study area. However, there are so many riverine systems in Africa, Thailand, China and etc. popular for riverine agriculture and riverine livelihoods. So, provide this missing background information with very good literature and then come to the specific point. 2. There are several non-English terms (local names) explain them or add a foot note. Readers outside the research area may not know the terms/cannot understand. 3. Local names of insect pest and local names of crops must provide scientific names for better understanding. 4. Rephrase abbreviated terms. From second time onwards use abbreviated term. 5. Missing data source/ reference of chart 1 6. Provide soil colour description instead of colour code. Munsell chart may not familiar to everyone. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Go through the manuscript carefully and correct all language and grammar mistakes along with formatting e.g. space double/single	
Optional/General comments	The author/s has/have carried out an interesting study. After fulfilling the above major issues, the manuscript is very well suitable for publication which is important for policy makers and government. Good luck!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. D. K. L. Gunathilaka
Department, University & Country	University of Colombo, Sri Lanka