

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87029
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Appraisal of the Farming Scenario in Riverine Areas of Lower Assam, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author(s) need to justify the study based on knowledge/research gaps. In other words, there is the need to formulate a strong problem statement for the paper. It is not clear the gaps in the literature the paper seeks to address. This has to feature strongly in the introduction. The author(s) need(s) to improve the AYEP formula using yield per ha at the national level as the reference instead of the state level. Doing so would make the findings more representative. Since the study has already been conducted, the author(s) need(s) to report the findings in the past tense instead of the present tense. The author(s) need(s) to clearly state the conclusion of the study. What have been stated by the author(s) are rather recommendations. The conclusion should emerge from the problem statement (knowledge/research gaps), and should help extend the literature. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reconcile between the different sampling techniques mentioned. In the abstract, a multi-stage purposive cum random sampling was mentioned, but in the body, a multi-stage random sampling was indicated. As commented, some of the sentences have to be rephrased to enhance clarity. Additional formatting of words may be needed. Some of the words have mistakenly come together. I have made several corrections but the author(s) should search further and correct all such anomalies. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishmael Hashmiu
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana